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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a finite, simple, and undirected graph and let $S$ be a set of vertices of $G$. We say that a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a convex set, in the $l$-neighborhood convexity, if every vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ has less than $l$ neighbors in $S$. The convex hull $H_{G}(S)$ of $S$ is the smallest convex set containing $S$. A hull set of $G$ is a set of vertices whose convex hull equals the whole vertex set of $G$, and the minimum cardinality of a hull set of $G$ is the hull number $h(G)$ of $G$. Finally, the Carathéodory number of $G$ is the smallest integer $c$ that for every set $S$ and every vertex $u$ in $H_{G}(S)$, there is a set $F \subseteq S$ with $|F| \leq c$ and $u \in H_{G}(F)$. In this work, we study the hull number and the Carathéodory number for the $l$-neighborhood convexity of graphs considering $l>2$. We determine the hull number for cographs in the 3 -neighborhood convexity and determine the Carathéodory number of trees for $l$-neighborhood convexity, where $l>2$.


## 1 Introduction

One simple combinatorial puzzle, studied by Balogh and Pete [BP98], is called Disease Process and can be modeled in terms of graph conve-

[^0]xity. Let us initially define the problem considering a graph $G$, a set $U$ of vertices of $G$ that initially possess a property, and an iterative process whereby new vertices $u$ enter $U$ whenever sufficiently many neighbors of $u$ are already in $U$. The simplest choice for "sufficiently many" that results in interesting effects is 2. This choice leads to the irreversible 2-threshold processes considered by Dreyer and Roberts [DR09] and also is studied in the $P_{3}$-convexity $\left[\mathrm{BCD}^{+} 12, \mathrm{CDS}^{2} 9, \mathrm{CDD}^{+} 10, \mathrm{CDP}^{+} 11, \mathrm{CPRS} 12\right.$, EFHM72, PWW08].

An arbitrary fixed number of $l$-neighbors is studied by Pete [Pet97], who determines some results for a grid and a cube. We continue this study modeling the problem as the $l$-neighborhood convexity in finite, simple, and undirected graphs where for a graph $G$, the vertex set is denoted $V(G)$ and the edge set is denoted $E(G)$.

A set $\mathcal{C}$ of subsets of $V(G)$ is a convexity on $V(G)$ if

- $\emptyset, V(G) \in \mathcal{C}$ and
- $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under intersections.

The elements of $\mathcal{C}$ are called convex sets. Several well known such convexities $\mathcal{C}$ are defined using a set $\mathcal{P}$ of paths of the underlying graph $G$. In this case, a set $C$ of vertices of $G$ is convex, that is, it belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, if and only if for every path $P: v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{l}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ such that $v_{0}$ and $v_{l}$ belong to $C$, all vertices of $P$ belong to $C$. When $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all shortest paths in $G$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is the geodetic convexity of $G\left[\mathrm{CHM}^{+} 06, \mathrm{DPRS} 10, \mathrm{ES} 85, \mathrm{FJ} 87\right]$ and when $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all induced paths of $G$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is the monophonic convexity of $G$ [DPS10, Duc88, FJ86]. Similarly, the triangle path convexity of $G$ is defined by considering as $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all triangle paths of $G$ [CM99]. Lastly, when $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all paths of $G$ with 3 vertices, we have the $P_{3}$ convexity of $G\left[\mathrm{BCD}^{+} 12, \mathrm{CDS} 09, \mathrm{CDD}^{+} 10, \mathrm{CDP}^{+} 11, \mathrm{CPRS} 12\right.$, EFHM72, PWW08].

In this paper we study problems related to the $l$-neighborhood convexity of $G$ which is defined by the number of neighbors of a vertex. In this case, we say that a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a convex set if every vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ has less than $l$ neighbors in $S$. The $P_{3}$ convexity is an example of the

2-neighborhood convexity, for this matter, we study the $l$-neighborhood convexity considering $l>2$.

Let $G$ be a graph, $\mathcal{C}$ a convexity on $V(G)$ and the convex hull in $\mathcal{C}$ of a set $S$ of vertices of $G$ the smallest set $H_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ containing $S$. We say that a subset of vertices $X$ is contaminated by the vertices of the set $S$ if $X \subseteq H_{G}(S)$. A hull set of $G$ is a set of vertices whose convex hull equals the whole vertex set of $G$, and the minimum cardinality of a hull set of $G$ is the hull number $h_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ of $G$.

Also, a fundamental result about convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ known as Carathéodory's theorem [Car11, Eck93] states that every point $u$ in the convex hull of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ lies in the convex hull of a subset $F$ of $S$ of order at most $d+1$.

The Carathéodory number of $\mathcal{C}$ is the smallest integer $c$ such that for every set $S$ of vertices of $G$ and every vertex $u$ in $H_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$, there is a set $F \subseteq$ $S$ with $|F| \leq c$ and $u \in H_{\mathcal{C}}(F)$. A set $S$ of vertices of $G$ is a Carathéodory set of $\mathcal{C}$ if the set $\partial H_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$ defined as $H_{\mathcal{C}}(S) \backslash \bigcup_{u \in S} H_{\mathcal{C}}(S \backslash\{u\})$ is not empty. This notion allows an alternative definition of the Carathéodory number of $\mathcal{C}$ as the largest cardinality of a Carathéodory set of $\mathcal{C}$.

The Carathéodory number has been determined for some graph convexities and graph classes. In $\left[\mathrm{DRdS}^{+} 13\right]$ was proved that the Carathéodory number of the geodetic convexity of split graphs is at most 3, but was showed that it is algorithmically hard to determine the Carathéodory number for this convexity. The Carathéodory number of the monophonic convexity of a graph $G$ is 1 if $G$ is complete and 2 otherwise [Duc88]. The Carathéodory number of the triangle path convexity of $G$ is 2 whenever $G$ has at least one edge [CM99]. Concerning the $P_{3}$ convexity in directed graphs, it has been shown that the maximum Carathéodory number of a multipartite tournament is 3 [PWW08]. The Carathéodory number of the $P_{3}$-convexity of a graph $G$ is unlimited and in $\left[\mathrm{BCD}^{+} 12\right]$ was shown characterizations and polynomial algorithms for the class of trees and block graphs, was established a best possible upper bound on the Carathéodory number of general graphs and a upper bound for claw-free graphs, finally, was demonstrated that it is algorithmically hard to deter-
mine the Carathéodory number for $P_{3}$-convexity even for bipartite graphs. In [CDRS14] was shown characterizations and polynomial algorithms for the chordal graphs.

Since a graph $G$ uniquely determines its convexity $\mathcal{C}$, we may write $H_{G}(S)$ and $\partial H_{G}(S)$ instead of $H_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$ and $\partial H_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$, respectively. We denote by $h_{l}(G)$ the hull number and $c_{l}(G)$ the Carathéodory number of the graph $G$ for the $l$-neighborhood convexity.

In this paper we study the hull number and the Carathéodory number for the $l$-neighborhood convexity of graphs. We characterized the class of cographs considering the 3 -neighborhood convexity. Relative to the Carathéodory number of $G$, we prove that $c_{l}(T)$, for any tree $T$, is the number of leaves of the largest strictly $l$-ary subtree of $T$.

## 2 Results

A cograph is a graph $G$ which has no induced $P_{4}$. An important property of a cograph that will be considered here is: $G$ is connected if and only if $\bar{G}$ is disconnected. Let $G$ be a connected cograph, denote by $u$ the number of universal vertices in $G$, in other words, a vertex adjacent to every other vertex except itself. Denote by $\overline{G_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{G_{u}}, \ldots, \overline{G_{t}}$ the connected components of $\bar{G}$ and by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{u}, \ldots, G_{t}$ the subgraphs of $G$ induced by the vertices of the respective components of $\bar{G}$, where $\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2$ when $i>u$. Note that the complement of $G$ has $t$ connected components.

The following considerations can be made: the components $\overline{G_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{G_{u}}$ are isolated vertices in $\bar{G}$ and $G_{1}, \ldots G_{u}$ are universal vertices in $G$; the vertices of a subgraph $G_{u+i}$ such that $i>0$ are adjacent to every vertex of $G-G_{u+i}$. Still, a subgraph $G_{k}$ of $G$ can have many connected components and even isolated vertices. Denote by $C_{i}^{j}$ a connected component $j$ that belongs to the subgraph $G_{i}$ of $G$, and by $C\left(G_{k}\right)$ the number of connected components of $G_{k}$.

Considering cographs and $l=3$ we can state the following theorem:
Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be a cograph, then:

$$
h_{3}(G)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \text { if } G=K_{2,2} \\
\max \left\{3, C\left(G_{3}\right)\right\} \text { if }(u=2 \text { and } t=3) \\
\max \left\{3, C\left(G_{2}\right)\right\} \text { if }(u=0 \text { and } t=2) \text { and }\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|=2 \\
\sum_{j=1}^{C\left(G_{i}\right)} h_{2}\left(C_{2}^{j}\right) \text { if } t=2 \text { and } u=1 \\
3, \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Three vertices of a subgraph $G_{i}$ will contaminate $G-G_{i}$, which will in the next step contaminate $G_{i}$, except in the following cases:

Case 1. The graph is a complete bipartite graph $K_{2,2}$. In this case is easy to check that all four vertices are needed.

Case 2 . The graph $G$ has exactly three subgraphs, say $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$. Here a note is worth to be made. If $G$ has more than three subgraphs it is easy to see that three vertices are enough to contaminate $G$. Also, if $G$ has three subgraphs and every subgraph have at least two vertices, whithout loss of generality, we can choose two vertices of $G_{1}$ and one vertex of $G_{2}$. They will contaminate $G_{3}$ which will contaminate all $G_{2}$, that garantee that $G_{1}$ will be contaminated. If $G_{1}$ is a universal vertex and $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ have at least two vertices, without loss of generality, choose two vertices of $G_{2}$ and one vertex of $G_{3}$. In the worst case, $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ will contaminate only $G_{1}$. Next, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ contaminate $G_{3}$ and since $G_{3}$ has at least two vertices, $G_{3}$ and $G_{1}$ contaminate the remaining vertices of $G_{2}$. Now, consider that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are universal vertices. If $G_{3}$ has exactly two vertices, choose the vertices of $G_{3}$ and $G_{2}$, and these vertices will contaminate $G_{1}$. If $G_{3}$ has more than two vertices and at most three components, choose three vertices, if possible, of different connected components. They will contaminate $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, which will contaminate the remaining vertices of $G_{3}$. Then, consider $G_{3}$ has more than three connected components. So, in this case is needed to choose one vertice of each connected component of $G_{3}$, these vertices will contaminate $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Since $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ contributes with two neighboors for the vertices in the components of $G_{3}$, it is enough only one vertex of each component for the whole $G_{3}$ be contaminated.

Case 3. Let consider now that the graph $G$ has exactly two subgraphs. If each subgraph has exactly two vertices, the only possible graph is the $K_{2,2}$ that was mentioned previously. If each subgraph has more than two vertices, it is sufficient three vertices of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $G_{1}$ has two vertices and $G_{2}$ has more than two vertices. The same idea of case 2, taking one vertex of each component of $G_{2}$, can be applied. If $G_{1}$ is a single vertex, than it is not enough three vertices of $G_{2}$, since $G_{2}$ can have many connected components as possible. Also it is not enough one vertice of each component of $G_{2}$, since $G_{1}$ will contribute with only one neighboor, and one vertex of each component of $G_{2}$ do not guarantee the contamination of the whole $G_{2}$. Thus we should find the necessary vertices to contaminate each component of $G_{2}$ considering the rule of the 2 neighboors contamination, denoted by $h_{2}$. Note that if $G_{2}$ has only one component and $h_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is 2 , it is clear that three vertices of $G_{2}$ will be necessary instead.

Our first result on Carathéodory number collects several elementary properties of Carathéodory sets.

Proposition 2.2. Let $G$ be a graph and let $S$ be a Carathéodory set of $G$.
a) If $G$ has order at least 2 and is either a path, or a cycle, then $c(G)=1$.
b) If $G$ has order at least 2 and is a complete graph, then $c(G)=l$;
c) The convex hull $H_{G}(S)$ of $S$ induces a connected subgraph of $G$.

Proof. a) Every $S \subseteq V(G)$ satisfy $H_{G}(S)=S$. So $c(G)=1$.
b) Every $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|S|=l$ of a complete graph $G$ satisfy $H_{G}(S)=V(G)$. So, $c(G)=l$.
c) Let $u \in \partial H_{G}(S)$. By contradiction, suppose that $H_{G}(S)$ does not induce a connected subgraph of $G$. Every connected component is induced
by a proper subset of $S$. Then, $u \in H_{G}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, where $S^{\prime} \subset S$, contradicting the fact that $S$ is a Carathéodory set.

Our next result characterizes the Carathéodory sets and Carathéodory number of trees. A rooted tree in which every internal vertex has exactly $q$ children is a strictly $q$-ary tree. Strictly $l$-ary (sub)trees play a central role for the Carathéodory number of $l$-neighborhood convexity.

Theorem 2.3. Let $T$ be a tree.
a) If $T$ is a strictly $l$-ary tree with root $r$, then the set $L$ of leaves of $T$ satisfies $H_{T}(L)=V(T)$ and $\{r\}=\partial H_{T}(L)$, i.e. $L$ is a Carathéodory set of $T$.
b) If $r$ is a vertex of $T$ and $S$ is a set of vertices of $T$ with $r \in \partial H_{T}(S)$, then $S$ is the set of leaves of a strictly $l$-ary subtree of $T$ rooted in $r$.
c) $c(T)$ equals the largest number of leaves of a strictly binary subtree of $T$.

Proof. a) We prove the statement by induction on the order $n$ of $T$. If $n=1$, then the statement is trivial. Hence let $n \geq 2$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{q}$ be the children of $r$ in $T$. For $i \in\{1,2, \cdots q\}$, let $T_{i}$ be the strictly $l$-ary subtree of $T$ containing $r_{i}$ and all descendants of $r_{i}$ and let $L_{i}$ be the set of its leaves. By induction, $H_{T_{i}}\left(L_{i}\right)=V\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $\left\{r_{i}\right\}=\partial H_{T_{i}}\left(L_{i}\right)$. Since $L=\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} L_{i}$ and $r$ has exactly the $l$ neighbours $r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{l}$, this implies $H_{T}(L)=V(T)$ and $\{r\}=\partial H_{T}(L)$.
b) Let $r$ be a vertex of $T$ and let $S$ be a set of vertices of $T$ with $r \in \partial H_{T}(S)$. By Proposition 2.2 c), the subgraph $T^{\prime}$ of $T$ induced by $H_{T}(S)$ is a subtree of $T$. We consider $T^{\prime}$ as rooted in $r$. If some internal vertex $v$ of $T^{\prime}$ belongs to $S$, then some descendant $w$ of $v$ in $T^{\prime}$ belongs to $S$ and $r \in H_{T}(S \backslash\{w\})$, which is a contradiction. Hence all elements of $S$ are leaves of $T^{\prime}$. Since every vertex in $H_{T}(S) \backslash S$ has $l$ neighbours
in $H_{T}(S)$, all leaves of $T^{\prime}$ belong to $S$, that is, $S$ equals the set of leaves of $T^{\prime}$. If some internal vertex $v$ of $T^{\prime}$ does not have exactly $l$ children, then let $w$ be a leaf of $T^{\prime}$ that is a descendant of $v$. It follows easily that $r \in H_{T}(S \backslash\{w\})$, which is a contradiction. Hence $T^{\prime}$ is a strictly l-ary tree rooted in $r$ and $S$ is the set of leaves of $T^{\prime}$.

Since c) follows easily from b), the proof is complete.
Theorem 2.3 implies that for every integer $k \geq 1$, there exists a tree $T$ with $c(T)=k$. Based on Theorem 2.3, we describe an efficient algorithm for determining the Carathéodory number of a given tree $T$. According to Theorem 2.3 it is sufficient to find the largest strictly $l$-ary subtree of $T$ and count the number of its leaves. The idea of the algorithm is to select an arbitrary vertex $v$ as the root and to consider the rooted version $T_{v}$ of $T$ with root $v$. For a vertex $w$ of $T_{v}$, let $l_{v}(w)$ denote the maximum number of leaves of a strictly $l$-ary subtree $T_{v}(w)$ of $T_{v}$ with root $w$ such that $T_{v}(w)$ contains no ancestor of $w$ with respect to $T_{v}$. If $w$ has at most $l-1$ children in $T_{v}$, then $l_{v}(w)=1$. If $w$ has at least $d$ children $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{d}$ in $T_{v}$ with $l_{v}\left(w_{1}\right) \geq l_{v}\left(w_{2}\right) \geq \ldots \geq l_{v}\left(w_{d}\right)$, then $l_{v}(w)=l_{v}\left(w_{1}\right)+l_{v}\left(w_{2}\right)+\ldots+l_{v}\left(w_{q}\right)$. Processing the vertices of $T_{v}$ in an order of non-increasing distance to $v$, one can determine $l_{v}(v)$ in linear time. By Theorem 2.3, we have $c(T)=\max \left\{l_{v}(v) \mid v \in V(T)\right\}$, which allows to determine $c(T)$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time for trees of order $n$.
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