

Multiplicity of Nontrivial Solutions to a Problem Involving the Weighted p -Biharmonic Operator

M. J. Alves * R. B. Assunção † P. C. Carrião 
O. H. Miyagaki ‡

Dedicated to Professor J. V. Gonçalves on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence of three solutions to a problem involving the weighted p -biharmonic operator. The first and second solutions are obtained as local minima using the Ekeland's Variational Principle and the third one is obtained by a variant of the Mountain Pass Theorem.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the following class of quasilinear elliptic problems involving the p -biharmonic operator

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\rho(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u) + g(x, u) = \lambda_1 h(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 = \Delta u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Supported in part by PRPq (UFMG) - Brazil .

†Supported in part by Fapemig CEX APQ-00748-08.

‡Supported in part by CNPq-Brazil, Fapemig CEX APQ 0609-05.01/07, and INCTmat-CNPq-MCT/Brazil.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 31A35, 35J35, 35J40, 35J60.

Key Words and Phrases: p -Biharmonic, Ekeland Variational Principle, Mountain Pass Theorem.

where $1 < p < \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$) is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $\rho \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\inf_{\bar{\Omega}} \rho(x) > 0$. We also use the assumptions (G_1)

$g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded continuous function satisfying $g(x, 0) = 0$,

and its primitive denoted by

$$(G_2) \quad G(x, s) = \int_0^s g(x, t) dt \text{ is assumed to be bounded.}$$

Let $X \equiv W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a Sobolev space endowed with the norm given by

$$\|u\| \equiv \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We define

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_N \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \right\},$$

where

$$N = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Omega} h |u|^p dx = 1 \right\},$$

the first eigenvalue of the following weighted eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\rho(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u) = \lambda_1 h(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 = \Delta u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where

(h)

$h \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$, $h \geq 0$ and $h > 0$ on a subset of Ω with positive measure.

We recall that by using a result by Talbi and Tsouli [18] (see also Drábek and Ôtani [8]), we know that the first eigenvalue λ_1 is simple, isolated and positive. Moreover every eigenfunction ϕ_1 associated with λ_1 can be chosen positive.

Here $\Delta(\rho(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta)$ denotes the operator of fourth order called the p -biharmonic operator with weight. For $p = 2$ and $\rho = 1$, the operator becomes the iterated Laplacian which have been studied by many authors.

For example, Lazer and McKenna [13] have pointed out that this type of nonlinearity furnishes a model for studying travelling waves in suspension bridges. Since then, more nonlinear biharmonic equations, including the p -biharmonic equations, have been studied. (See [14, 19].)

More exactly, this type of problem appears, for instance, in the study of Hooke's law of nonlinear elasticity. (See [4, 6] and references therein.) While the p -biharmonic operator can be used to study a semilinear hamiltonian system of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v^p & \text{in } \Omega, & -\Delta v = u^q & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is smooth bounded domain and $p, q \geq 1$.

Formally, from the first equation we have

$$v = (-\Delta u)^{1/p}$$

and substituting on the second equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(|-\Delta u|^{1/p-1}(-\Delta u)) &= -\Delta(-\Delta u)^{1/p} = u^q, & x \in \Omega \\ u = \Delta u &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we are looking for solution in the Sobolev space $W^{2,(p+1)}(\Omega)$. (See [7, 11]).

We define the energy functional $I : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to problem (1.1) by

$$I(u) \equiv \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h |u|^p dx. \quad (1.3)$$

Under assumptions G_1 and G_2 , the functional $I \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is given by

$$I'(u) \cdot v = \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |u|^{p-2} u v dx. \quad (1.4)$$

The main goal of this paper is to show the existence of multiple solutions for problem (1.1). We were inspired by Gonçalves and Miyagaki [10] and

also by Alves, Carrião and Miyagaki [3], in which problems involving the laplacian and p -laplacian operators are studied, respectively. See also Ma and Sanches [15].

We define

$$V = \langle \phi_1 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\mathbb{R}} hu|\phi_1|^{p-2}\phi_1 = 0 \right\}.$$

Note that Z is a closed complementary subspace of V and therefore we have the direct sum

$$X = V \oplus Z.$$

We define

$$\lambda_2 = \inf_Z \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx : \int_{\Omega} h|u|^p dx = 1 \right\}, \quad (1.5)$$

which satisfies $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$, and it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} h|w|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta w|^p dx, \quad \text{for all } w \in Z. \quad (1.6)$$

We impose the following

$$(G_3) \quad g(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |t| \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

$$(G_4) \quad G(x, t) \geq \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{p} h(x) |t|^p, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(G_5) \quad \text{There exist } \delta > 0 \text{ and } 0 < m < \lambda_1 \text{ such that}$$

$$G(x, t) \geq \frac{m}{p} h(x) |t|^p, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and for all } |t| < \delta.$$

We define

$$T(x) = \liminf_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} G(x, t) \text{ and } S(x) = \limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} G(x, t) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

$$(G_6) \quad \text{There exist } t^-, t^+ \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } t^- < 0 < t^+ \text{ such that}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} G(x, t^{\pm}) \phi_1 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} T(x) dx < 0$$

and

$$(G_7) \quad \int_{\Omega} S(x) dx \leq 0.$$

Define the following subsets

$$C^+ = \{t\phi_1 + z : t \geq 0 \text{ and } z \in Z\} \text{ and } C^- = \{t\phi_1 + z : t \leq 0 \text{ and } z \in Z\}.$$

We remark that $\partial C^+ = \partial C^- = Z$.

Now we state our main result.

Theorem 1.

(i) Under assumptions (h), (G_1) , (G_2) , (G_4) and (G_6) , there exist $u \in C^+$ and $v \in C^-$ solutions of problem (1.1) such that $I(u) < 0$ and $I(v) < 0$.

(ii) Under assumptions (h), (G_1) – (G_3) , (G_5) – (G_7) , problem (1.1) has a solution w such that $I(w) > 0$.

The first and second solutions are obtained as local minima of the energy functional I . To do this, we use the Ekeland's variational principle in each of the subsets C^+ and C^- . The third solution is obtained by using a variant of the Mountain Pass Theorem. In the last section we give an example for Theorem 1.

2 Preliminary results

We begin by recalling that $I : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ($(PS)_c$ in short), if any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

has a convergent subsequence in X .

Our first lemma is proved by adapting some arguments used by Anane and Gossez [1] and by Alves, Carrião and Miyagaki [3].

Lemma 2. *Assume the conditions (h), (G_1) and (G_2) . Then the functional I satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c < \int_{\Omega} T(x) dx$.*

Proof. We will prove that the sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is bounded. Suppose, on the contrary, that it is unbounded. Then, up to subsequence, we have

$$\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Define

$$v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly $\|v_n\| = 1$ and the sequence $\{v_n\} \subset X$ is bounded. Taking a subsequence if necessary (still denoted in the same way) we obtain

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ weakly in } X \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$v_n \rightarrow v \text{ in } L^s(\mathbb{R}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } 1 \leq s < p^* = \frac{np}{n-2p}, \quad (2.2)$$

and $p^* = +\infty$, if $n \leq 2p$.

We will show that $v \neq 0$ and that there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v(x) = \mu\phi_1(x) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

We are going to consider only the case $n > 2p$, the other case is easier.

By definition of I and by the fact that $\Delta u_n = \Delta v_n \|u_n\|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'(u_n) \cdot u_n &= \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) u_n dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |u_n|^p dx \\ &= \|u_n\|^p \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta v_n|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) u_n dx - \lambda_1 \|u_n\|^p \int_{\Omega} h |v_n|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $t_n = \|u_n\|$, it follows that

$$\frac{I'(u_n) \cdot u_n}{t_n^p} = \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta v_n|^p dx + \frac{1}{t_n^p} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) u_n dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |v_n|^p dx. \quad (2.3)$$

We will denote the terms of the equality (2.3) by I_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$), respectively.

Claim 3.

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = 0$,
 (b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_3 = 0$,
 (c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_4 = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h|v|^p dx$.

Proof. (a) From the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I'(u_n) = 0$ and since $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is unbounded we have the inequality

$$\left| \frac{I'(u_n) \cdot u_n}{t_n^p} \right| \leq \epsilon \frac{\|u_n\|}{\|u_n\|^p} = \epsilon \|u_n\|^{1-p}.$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = 0$.

(b) By the condition (G_1) , the Hölder's inequality, and (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{t_n^p} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) u_n dx \right| &\leq \frac{C}{t_n^p} \int_{\Omega} |u_n| dx \leq \frac{C}{t_n^p} \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\Omega} 1^{p-1} dx \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{t_n^p} \left[\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^p |t_n|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = M t_n^{1-p} \left[\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C and M are positive constants. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_3 = 0$.

(c) Follows immediately from (2.2). □

Using Claim 3 and (2.1) we obtain that $v \neq 0$ because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta v_n|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |v_n|^p dx \right] = 1 - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |v|^p dx = 0.$$

Since $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in X , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\|v\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\| = 1$. Therefore

$$\|v\| \leq 1 \tag{2.4}$$

and we conclude that v is an eigenfunction associated to the simple eigenvalue λ_1 . Hence, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \neq 0$, such that

$$v(x) = \mu \phi_1(x) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, by (2.1) we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|} = v(x) = \mu \phi_1(x), \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

But $\mu\phi_1(x) \neq 0$, then $v_n(x) \neq 0$ and this implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(x)\|v_n(x) = \infty, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.6)$$

Using Fatou's Lemma, we have that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n(x)) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, u_n(x)) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} T(x) dx. \quad (2.7)$$

By definition of λ_1 we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |u_n|^p dx \geq 0 \quad (2.8)$$

and hence

$$c + o_n(1) = I(u_n) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n(x)) dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n(x)| = \infty$, by (G_2) it follows that

$$c \geq \int_{\Omega} T(x) dx,$$

which contradicts the hypothesis of the Lemma. Hence the sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is bounded.

We claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u \in X$. In fact, consider

$$\begin{aligned} I'(u_n) \cdot (u_n - u) &= \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^{p-2} \Delta u_n \Delta (u_n - u) dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{u_n - u\} \subset X$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I'(u_n) = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I'(u_n) \cdot (u_n - u) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Using (G_2) , the facts that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R})$ (for $1 \leq s < p^*$) and that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a. e. on Ω as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as well as the Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) (u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

It follows from (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^{p-2} \Delta u_n \Delta (u_n - u) dx \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

Since $|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\rho \Delta (u_n - u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, by a result in [12, Theorem 13.44] we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta (u_n - u) dx = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where we are assuming that

$$\Delta u_n \rightarrow \Delta u, \text{ a.e., as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The above affirmative can be proved arguing as in [5] (see also Alves, Carrião and Miyagaki in [2] for the case in dimension 1), together with the inequalities

$$[|x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y] (x - y) \geq \begin{cases} C_p \frac{|x - y|^2}{(|x| + |y|)^{2-p}} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2 \\ C_p |x - y|^p & \text{if } p \geq 2, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

(for the proof, see [16, 17]).

Now, by using again the above inequality, we obtain by (2.13) and (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} [|\Delta u_n|^{p-2} \Delta u_n - |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u] \rho \Delta (u_n - u) dx \\ &\geq \begin{cases} C_p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho \frac{|\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2}{(|\Delta u_n| + |\Delta u|)^{2-p}} dx & \text{if } 1 < p < 2 \\ C_p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^p dx & \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

If $p \geq 2$, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^p dx \leq 0.$$

If $1 < p < 2$, by Hölder's inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^p dx \\ & \leq \left[\int_{\Omega} \rho \frac{|\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2}{(|\Delta u_n| + |\Delta u|)^{2-p}} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega} \rho (|\Delta u_n| + |\Delta u|)^p dx \right]^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{\Omega} \rho \frac{|\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2}{(|\Delta u_n| + |\Delta u|)^{2-p}} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.15) and the previous inequality it follows that

$$0 \geq C_p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho \frac{|\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2}{(|\Delta u_n| + |\Delta u|)^{2-p}} dx \geq C_p C^{-1} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Therefore, in both cases we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\| = 0 \text{ in } X$$

and this concludes the proof of the Lemma. \square

Lemma 4. *Assume the conditions (h), (G_2) and (G_6) . Then the functional I is bounded from below on X and $\inf_{C^{\pm}} I$ is negative on C^+ and on C^- .*

Proof. Let $u \in X$; by condition G_2 , we have $|\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx| \leq C$. Hence, by the definition of λ_1 we get

$$|I(u)| \geq \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx \geq -C$$

and I is bounded from below on X .

Using condition (G_6) and the eigenfunction ϕ_1 associated to the eigenvalue λ_1 we obtain

$$I(t^{\pm} \phi_1) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, t^{\pm} \phi_1) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} T(x) < 0. \quad (2.16)$$

If $u \in C^+$, we have that $I(u) = I(t\phi_1 + z)$. In particular, consider $t = t^+$ and $z = 0$; by inequality (2.16), we obtain that $I(t^+\phi_1) < 0$. Similarly, we

have $I(t^-\phi_1) < 0$. Hence $\inf_{C^\pm} I(t^\pm\phi_1) < 0$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now we show that the energy functional I verifies the geometry of the Mountain Pass Theorem.

Lemma 5. *The energy functional I verifies the following properties.*

- (a) $I(0) = 0$.
- (b) *There exist positive constants ρ and R such that $I(u) \geq \rho > 0$ if $\|u\| = R$.*
- (c) *There exists $z \in X$ such that $I(z) < 0 = I(0)$ if $\|z\| > R$.*

Proof. The proof of the item (a) is immediate.

Since G is bounded and continuous, there exist $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ (with $p < \theta < p^*$) and a constant C such that

$$G(x, t) \geq \frac{m}{p} h(x) |t|^p - C |t|^\theta, \text{ for all } |t| > \delta,$$

where δ is given by (G_5) . Therefore, by (G_5) we conclude that

$$G(x, t) \geq \frac{m}{p} h(x) |t|^p - C |t|^\theta, \text{ for all } |t| \in R, p < \theta < p^* \text{ and for all } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.17)$$

By the previous inequality we have

$$I(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{m}{p} h(x) |u|^p - C |u|^\theta \right] dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h |u|^p dx.$$

We recall that the embedding $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous for $1 < p < s \leq p^*$ and compact for $s < p^*$ and

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} h |u|^p dx}.$$

Then, for $p < \theta < p^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx - \left[\frac{\lambda_1 - m}{p} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx - C \int_{\Omega} |u|^\theta dx \\ &\geq \frac{m}{p\lambda_1} \|u\| - \mu \|u\|^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$I(u) \geq \frac{m}{p\lambda_1} \|u\| + o(\|u\|), \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow \infty,$$

we can find $R > 0$ small enough and $\rho > 0$ such that if $\|u\| \leq R$, then $I(u) \geq 0$ and if $\|u\| = R$, then $I(u) \geq \rho > 0$. As a result, item (b) is proved.

To prove item (c), it is sufficient to remark that by (G_6) we conclude that $I(t^\pm \phi_1) < 0$. Then we define $z \equiv t^+ \phi_1$ and we get $\|z\| = \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta(t^+ \phi_1)|^p dx = t^+ \|\phi_1\| \equiv R_1$. Note that $R_1 > R$ and it follows that $\|z\| > R$ and $I(z) < 0$. This concludes the proof of item (c). □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

To prove item (i) we use inequality (2.16) to obtain

$$\inf_{C^\pm} I(u) \leq I(t^\pm \phi_1) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, t^\pm \phi_1) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} T(x) < 0.$$

If $\inf_{C^\pm} I(u) = I(t^\pm \phi_1)$, then it is enough to take $u = t^+ \phi_1$ and $v = t^- \phi_1$ to get two solutions such that $I(u) < 0$ and $I(v) < 0$.

Otherwise, if $\inf_{C^\pm} I(u) < I(t^\pm \phi_1)$ then we have

$$\inf_{C^\pm} I(u) < \int_{\Omega} T(x). \tag{3.1}$$

By Lemma 4, the functional I is bounded from below on X and it is easy to prove that I is lower semicontinuous in X . Hence, the Ekeland's Variational Principle guarantees the existence of two sequences $u_n \subset C^+$ and $v_n \subset C^-$ satisfying

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow \inf_{C^+} I(u) \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$I(v_n) \rightarrow \inf_{C^-} I(v) \quad \text{and} \quad I'(v_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.1) and by Lemma 2, there exist u and v such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{and} \quad v_n \rightarrow v \text{ in } X$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, u and v are solutions of problem 1.1 verifying

$$I(u) = \inf_{C^+} I(z) < 0 \text{ and } I(v) = \inf_{C^-} I(z) < 0.$$

Moreover, it follows from assumption (G_4) and from inequality (1.6) that

$$I(z) \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda_2}{p} \int_{\Omega} h |z|^p dx \geq 0, \text{ for all } z \in Z.$$

Then $I(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in Z$ and Lemma 2 implies that the infimum of I on C^{\pm} is achieved in $C^{\pm} \setminus Z$. Therefore $u \in C^+$ and $v \in C^-$.

To prove item (ii) we use Lemma 5 and a variant of the Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition. (See [9, Theorem 6].) Then there exists a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset X$ such that

$$I(w_n) \rightarrow c_1 > \rho > 0 \text{ and } \|I'(w_n)\|_{X^*} (1 + \|w_n\|) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } X^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Arguing as in the prove of Lemma 2, we choose $t_n = \|w_n\|$ to obtain

$$\left| \frac{I'(w_n) \cdot w_n}{t_n^p} \right| \leq \frac{\|I'(w_n)\|_{X^*} (1 + \|w_n\|)}{t_n^p} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If the sequence $\{w_n\} \subset X$ is unbounded, then

$$|w_n(x)| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

Since

$$|I'(w_n) \cdot w_n| \leq \|I'(w_n)\|_{X^*} (1 + \|w_n\|),$$

by (3.2) we obtain that

$$|I'(w_n) \cdot w_n| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and hence

$$o(1) = I'(w_n) \cdot w_n = \|w_n\|^p + \int_{\Omega} g(x, w_n) w_n dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |w_n|^p dx.$$

By (2.8) we conclude that

$$0 \leq \|w_n\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h |w_n|^p dx = - \int_{\Omega} g(x, w_n) w_n dx + o(1) \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} g(x, w_n) w_n dx \right| + o(1)$$

By (G_1) and (G_3) , the function $g(x, w_n(x))w_n(x)$ is bounded for all $x \in \Omega$ and for all n . By (2.6), $g(x, w_n(x))w_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a. e. on Ω . Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain $\int_{\Omega} g(x, w_n)w_n dx \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then

$$\|w_n\|^p - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h|w_n|^p dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$c_1 + o(1) = I(w_n) = \frac{1}{p} \left[\|w_n\|^p - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \int_{\Omega} h|w_n|^p dx \right] + \int_{\Omega} G(x, w_n) dx,$$

using Fatou's Lemma, together with (2.6) and (G_7) , we obtain

$$c_1 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} G(x, w_n) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} S(x) dx \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (3.2). Hence the sequence $\{w_n\} \subset X$ is bounded and, passing to a subsequence if necessary (still denoted in the same way), there exists $w \in X$ such that

$$w_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ in } X, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We also have $\|I'(w_n)\|_{X^*}(1 + \|w_n\|) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\|I'(w_n)\|_{X^*} \rightarrow 0$, in X^* as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by a similar argument as that of Lemma 2 we conclude that

$$w_n \rightarrow w, \text{ in } X \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and the Theorem is proved. □

4 Example

In this section, inspired by [3], we will define a function g that satisfies the assumptions $(G_1) - (G_7)$.

Consider $\Omega = (0, 1)$, $p = 2$ and $h = 1$. In this case, the function $\phi_1(x) = \sin(\pi x)$ is an eigenfunction associated to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \pi^4$ of

problem (1.1). We remark that ϕ_1 is symmetric with respect to $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$g(x, s) = R(x)g_1(x)$$

where $R(x) = 1$ and $g_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$g_1(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ 2 - s, & \text{for } 1 < s \leq 5, \\ s - 8, & \text{for } 5 < s \leq 8 + \frac{\sqrt{30}}{2}, \\ 8 + \sqrt{30} - s, & \text{for } 8 + \frac{\sqrt{30}}{2} < s \leq 8 + \sqrt{30}, \\ 0, & \text{for } s \geq 8 + \sqrt{30}, \\ -g(-s), & \text{for } s \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

Defining $G_1(s) = \int_0^s g_1(t)dt$ we have

$$G(x, s) = \int_0^s g(x, t)dt = R(x)G_1(s) \quad \text{and} \quad S(x) = T(x) = -\frac{R(x)}{2}.$$

Choosing $\delta < 1$ it is easy to see that g verifies the assumptions $(G_1) - (G_5)$ and (G_7) .

Now we have to prove that g also verifies (G_6) , for $t^+ = 8$ and $t^- = -8$. Since ϕ_1 is symmetric with respect to $x = \frac{1}{2}$, the same is true for G . Then we have $G(x, 8\phi_1(x)) = G(1 - x, 8\phi_1(1 - x))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G(x, 8\phi_1(x))dx &= 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} G(x, 8\phi_1(x))dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} G_1(8\phi_1(x))dx \\ &= 2 \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{6}} G_1(8\phi_1(x))dx + \int_{\frac{1}{6}}^{\frac{1}{3}} G_1(8\phi_1(x))dx + \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}} G_1(8\phi_1(x))dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{6}$ we have that $0 \leq 8 \sin(\pi x) \leq 4$. Then we have

$$\max_{x \in [0, \frac{1}{6}]} G_1(8\phi_1(x)) = \max_{y \in [0, 4]} G_1(y) = G_1(2).$$

Similarly,

$$\max_{x \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}]} G_1(8\phi_1(x)) = \max_{y \in [4, 4\sqrt{3}]} G_1(y) = G_1(4)$$

and

$$\max_{x \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]} G_1(8\phi_1(x)) = \max_{y \in [4\sqrt{3}, 8]} G_1(y) = G_1(4\sqrt{3}) < G_1(6).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G(x, 8\phi_1(x)) dx &= 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} G(x, 8\phi_1(x)) dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} G_1(8\phi_1(x)) dx \\ &\leq 2 \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{6}} G_1(2) dx + \int_{\frac{1}{6}}^{\frac{1}{3}} G_1(4) dx + \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}} G_1(6) dx \right] < \int_0^1 T(x) dx < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that G satisfies (G_6) for $t^- = -8$.

References

- [1] Anane, A.; Gossez, J. P., *Strongly nonlinear elliptic problems near resonance: variational approach*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations. 15 (1990), 1141-1159.
- [2] Alves, M. J.; Carrião, P. C.; Miyagaki, O. H., *Soliton solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}* , Adv. Nonl. Studies 7 (2007), 579-597.
- [3] Alves, C. O.; Carrião, P. C. Miyagaki, O. H., *Multiple solutions for a problem with resonance involving the p -laplacian*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3 (1998), n. 1-2, 191-201.
- [4] Benedikt, J., *On the discreteness of the spectra of the Dirichlet and Neumann p -biharmonic problem*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 293 (2004), 777-792.
- [5] Boccardo, L.; Murat, F., *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992), 581-597.
- [6] Dautray, R.; Lions, J.-L., *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology I: Physical Origins and Classical Methods*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [7] dos Santos, E. M., *Multiplicity of solutions for a fourth-order quasilinear nonhomogeneous equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008), 277-297.

- [8] Drábek, P.; Ôtani, M., *Global bifurcation result for the p -biharmonic operator*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 48 (2001), 1-19.
- [9] Ekeland, I., *Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [10] Gonçalves, J. V.; Miyagaki, O. H., *Three solutions for a strongly resonant elliptic problem*, Nonlinear Anal. 24 (1995), 265-272.
- [11] He, H.; Yang, J., *Asymptotic behavior of solutions for Hénon systems with nearly critical exponent*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 347 (2008), 459–471.
- [12] Hewitt, E.; Stromberg, K., *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955.
- [13] Lazer, A. C.; McKenna, P. J., *Large-amplitude periodic oscillations in suspension bridges: some new connections with nonlinear analysis*, SIAM Rev. 32 (1990), 537–578.
- [14] Liu, H.; Su, N., *Existence of three solutions for a p -biharmonic problem*, Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems, Series A: Mathematical Analysis 15 (2008), 445–452.
- [15] Ma, T. F.; Sanches, L., *Three solutions of a quasilinear elliptic problem near resonance*, Mathematica Slovaca, Eslovaquia 47 (1997), n. 4, 451-457.
- [16] Peral, I., *Multiplicity of Solutions for the p -Laplacian*, Second School on Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Appl. Diff. Eqns., I.C.T.P.I., Trieste, 1997.
- [17] Simon, J., *Regularité de la Solution d'une Equation Non Linéaire dans \mathbb{R}^n* , Lectures Notes in Math. N. 665, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [18] Talbi, M.; Tsouli, N., *On the spectrum of the weighted p -biharmonic operator with weight*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 4 (2007), 73-86.
- [19] Wang, W.; Zhao, P., *Nonuniformly nonlinear elliptic equations of p -biharmonic type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 348 (2008), 730–738.

M. J. Alves
R. B. Assunção
P. C. Carrião
Departamento de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
30161970, Belo Horizonte-MG, Brasil
E-mail: mariajose@mat.ufmg.br
carrion@mat.ufmg.br
ronaldo@mat.ufmg.br

O. H. Miyagaki
Departamento de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Viçosa
36571-000, Viçosa-MG, Brasil
E-mail: olimpio@ufv.br