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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the study of the existence and the decay of solutions of the following problem: $$
\begin{aligned} & B u^{\prime \prime}(t)+M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) A u(t)+\delta B u^{\prime}(t)=0, \text { in } V^{\prime}, t>0 \\ & u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1}\left(u^{0} \neq 0\right) \end{aligned}
$$ where A and B are symmetric linear operators from a Hilbert space V into its dual $V^{\prime}$ satisfying $\langle B v, v\rangle>0, v \neq 0,\langle A v, v\rangle \geq \gamma\|v\|_{V}^{2}, \gamma>$ 0 ; W a Banach space with V continuously embedding in $\mathrm{W} ; \beta$ a real number with $\beta \geq 1, M(\xi)$ a smooth function with $M(\xi) \geq 0$, and $\delta$ a positive real number
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## 1 Introduction

Let V be a real separable Hilbert space whose dual is denoted by $V^{\prime}$ and W a Banach space with V continuously embedding in W . Consider two symmetric linear operators $A, B: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle A v, v\rangle \geq \gamma\|v\|_{V}^{2}, \forall v \in V(\gamma \text { positive constant }) ; \\
\langle B v, v\rangle>0, \forall v \in V, v \neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

and a smooth function $M(\xi)$ with

$$
M(\xi) \geq 0, \forall \xi \geq 0
$$

Consider also two real numbers $\beta \geq 1$ and $\delta>0$. In this conditions we have the following problem:

$$
(*) \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& B u^{\prime \prime}(t)+M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) A u(t)+\delta B u^{\prime}(t)=0, \text { in } V^{\prime}, t>0, \\
& u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1}\left(u^{0} \neq 0\right) .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Equation in $\left(^{*}\right)$ is a damped abstract version in Banach spaces of the Kirchhoff equation [14] and the Carrier equation [5]. When $B=I, \beta=$ $2, \mathrm{~W}$ is a Hilbert space and $\delta \geq 0$, there is an extensive literature on this problem (cf. Medeiros, Limaco and Menezes [22]).

The existence of local solutions of problem $\left(^{*}\right)$ has been obtained by the Authors in [13].

In this paper we study the existence of global solutions of $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ when $M(\xi) \geq 0$ and the exponential decay of solutions of $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ when $M(\xi) \geq$ $m_{0}>0$. In Section 5, we give some examples.

To obtain global solutions we use the prolongation method and in the decay of solutions, the Lyapunov approach, cf. Komornik and Zuazua [15]. In both cases it is fundamental an appropriate characterization of the derivative of $M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)$. We use various results obtained in [13] and in S. S. Souza and the third A. [27].

## 2 Notations and Main Results

Let V be a real separable Hilbert space whose dual is denoted by $V^{\prime}$. Consider two linear operators $A, B: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ satisfying

$$
\langle A u, v\rangle=\langle u, A v\rangle, \forall u, v \in V
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle A u, u\rangle \geq \gamma\|u\|_{V}^{2}, \forall u \in V(\gamma \text { positive constant })  \tag{H1}\\
& \langle B u, v\rangle=\langle u, B v\rangle, \forall u, v \in V \\
& \langle B u, u\rangle>0, \forall u \in V, u \neq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the duality pairing between V^{\prime}$ and V . We have that the scalar product $((u, v))=\langle A u, v\rangle$ defines a norm $\|u\|=((u, u))^{1 / 2}$ in V which is equivalent to the norm $\|u\|_{V}$. The space V will be equipped with the scalar product $((u, v))$ and norm $\|u\|$.

The bilinear form

$$
(u, v)=\langle B u, v\rangle, \forall u, v \in V
$$

is a scalar product in V . We denote by H the completed of the space $\{V,(u, v)\}$. The scalar product of the Hilbert space H will be denoted also by $(u, v)$ and its norm by $|u|$. We have that

V is densely and continuously embedding in H .
Consider the coercive self-adjoint operator S of H determined by the triplet $\{V, H,((u, v))\}$. We have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(S u, v)=((u, v))=\langle A u, v\rangle, \forall u \in D(S), \forall v \in V  \tag{2.1}\\
A u=B S u \text { in } V^{\prime}, \forall u \in D\left(S^{3 / 2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Identify H with its dual $H^{\prime}$. Then expression (2.1) says that A is the extension of $S$ to the space $V$.

Represent by W a Banach space whose dual $W^{\prime}$ is strictly convex.

Denote by $\theta \geq 0$ a real number and by $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ the spectral family of S . Then $D\left(S^{\theta}\right)$ is the Hilbert space

$$
D\left(S^{\theta}\right)=\left\{u \in H ; \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2 \theta} d\left(E_{\lambda} u, u\right)<\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the scalar product

$$
(u, v)_{D\left(S^{\theta}\right)}=\left(S^{\theta} u, S^{\theta} v\right) .
$$

Fix $\alpha \geq 0$ a real number. Assume that $D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)$ is continuously embedding in W , that is, there exist a positive number $k_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W} \leq k_{0}\|u\|_{D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)}, \forall u \in D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right) \tag{H3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a function $M(\xi)$ and $\beta \geq 1$ a real number satisfying

$$
(H 4) \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& M \in C^{0}([0, \infty[), M(0)=0, M(\xi)>0, \forall \xi>0 ; \\
& M \in C^{1}(] 0, \infty[) ; \\
& \\
& \left|M^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \lambda^{1-1 / \beta} \leq C_{0} M^{1 / 2}(\xi), \forall \xi>0\left(C_{0} \text { positive constant }\right) .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Under the above considerations, we have the following result:
Theorem 2.1 Assume hypotheses (H1)-(H4) with $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 1$. Consider $\delta>0$ a real number and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{0} \in D\left(S^{2 \alpha+5 / 2}\right), u^{1} \in D\left(S^{2 \alpha+2}\right), u^{0} \neq 0 \tag{H5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta C_{0} k_{0}\left[\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{1}\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u^{0}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}<\delta \tag{H6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Then there exists a function $u$ in the class

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)\right) \\
u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; D\left(S^{\alpha+1 / 2}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

satisfying

| $(P)$ | $\begin{array}{l}u^{\prime \prime}+M\left(\\|u\\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) S u+\delta u^{\prime}=0 \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; D\left(S^{\alpha+1 / 2}\right)\right), \\ u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} .\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

(b) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set constituted by the real numbers $T>0$ such that there exists a unique function $u$ in the class (2.3) with $u$ solution of ( $P$ ) in $[0, T]$ and $\|u(t)\|_{W}>0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Let $T_{\max }$ be the supremum of the $T \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ and the solution $u$ obtained in (a) verifies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \in L_{l o c}^{\infty}\left(0, T_{\max } ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+5 / 2}\right)\right) \\
& u^{\prime} \in L_{l o c}^{\infty}\left(0, T_{\max } ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+2}\right)\right) \\
& u^{\prime \prime} \in L_{l o c}^{\infty}\left(0, T_{\max } ; D\left(S^{\alpha+1 / 2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{1}\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u^{0}\right|^{2}, 0 \leq t<T_{\max }
$$

And if $T_{\max }$ is finite,

$$
u(t)=0, \text { for } t \geq T_{\max }
$$

In order to obtain the decay of solutions of problem (P), we make the following considerations.

Consider a function $M(\xi)$ and $\beta>1$ a real number satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \in C^{1}([0, \infty[) \\
& M(\xi) \geq m_{0}>0, \forall \xi \geq 0\left(m_{0} \text { constant }\right)  \tag{H7}\\
& M^{\prime}(\xi) \geq 0, \forall \xi \geq 0 \\
& \left|M^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \lambda^{1-1 / \beta} \leq C_{1} M(\xi), \forall \xi \geq 0\left(C_{1} \text { positive constant }\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As V is continuously embedding in H , we have:

$$
(S u, u)=\|u\|^{2} \geq C_{*}^{2}|u|^{2}, \forall u \in D(S)\left(C_{*} \text { positive constant }\right) .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S^{\alpha+1} u\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{C_{*}^{2}}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u\right|^{2}, \forall u \in D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the constant $k_{1}>0$ verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W} \leq k_{1}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u\right|^{2}, \forall u \in D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi(t)$ be the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}, t \geq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the above considerations, we obtain :
Theorem 2.2 Assume hypotheses (H1)-(H3), (H7) with $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta>1$. Consider a real number $\delta>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{0} \in D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right), u^{1} \in D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)  \tag{H8}\\
& \beta C_{1} k_{0} M^{1 / 2}\left(k_{1}^{\beta} \varphi^{\beta / 2}(0)\right) \varphi^{1 / 2}(0)<\delta \tag{H9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\varphi(0)=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{1}\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u^{0}\right|^{2}
$$

Then there exists a unique function $u$ in the class (2.3) such that $u$ is solution of Problem (P). Furthermore if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (H10) } \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{1}\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u^{0}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad<\min \left[\frac{\delta^{2}}{4 \beta^{2} C_{1}^{2} k_{0}^{2} M\left(k_{1}^{\beta} \varphi^{\beta / 2}(0)\right.}, \frac{m_{0} C_{*}^{4}}{4 \beta^{2} C_{1}^{2} k_{0}^{2}}, \frac{m_{0} C_{*}^{4}}{4 \delta^{2} \beta^{2} C_{1}^{2} k_{0}^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{*}$ were defined in (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t) \leq 3 \varphi(0) e^{-\frac{\tau_{0}}{3} t}, t \geq 0, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}=\min \left(\delta, \epsilon_{0}\right), \epsilon_{0}=\min \left(\frac{1}{2 P_{0}}, \frac{\delta}{4}, 1\right), P_{0}=\frac{1}{C_{*} m_{0}^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\delta}{2 C_{*} m_{0}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\varphi(t)$ was defined in (2.6).

Corollary 2.2 If $K=\sup _{0 \leq t<\infty} M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)$
then (2.7) implies

$$
E(t) \leq 3 K \varphi(0) e^{-\frac{\tau_{0}}{3} t}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

where

$$
E(t)=\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}, t \geq 0
$$

Remark 2.1 By property (2.2), we have that the equations

$$
B u^{\prime \prime}(t)+M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) A u(t)+\delta B u^{\prime}(t)=0 \text { in } V^{\prime}, t>0
$$

and

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) S u(t)+\delta u^{\prime}(t)=0 \text { in } D\left(S^{3 / 2}\right), t>0
$$

are equivalents.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We need of the following result, obtained in [13]:

Proposition 3.1 Let $M:\left[0, \infty\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.\right.$ be a function of class $C^{1}$ and

$$
u \in C^{1}([0, \infty[; W), u(t) \neq 0, \forall t \in[0, \infty[.
$$

Consider $\beta$ a real number. Then the Leibniz derivative of $M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\right\}=\beta M^{\prime}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta-1}\left\langle\frac{J u(t)}{\|u(t)\|_{W}}, u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle_{W^{\prime} \times W} \\
& \quad t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J$ is the duality application $J: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\langle J v, v\rangle_{W^{\prime} \times W}=\|v\|_{W}^{2}, \quad\|J v\|_{W^{\prime}}=\|v\|_{W}, \quad \forall v \in W
$$

By [13] we have also that there exists $T_{0}>0$ and a unique function u in the class

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+5 / 2}\right)\right) \\
u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+2}\right)\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
(L P) & u^{\prime \prime}+M\left(\|u\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) S u+\delta u^{\prime}=0 \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right) \\
u(0)=u^{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{W}>0, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$.
Next we obtain estimates for the solutions u given in $\mathcal{M}$. Note that if $u$ given in $\mathcal{M}$ by the uniqueness of solutions $(\mathrm{P})$ in $[0, \mathrm{~T}]$, we have that $u$ belongs to class $(3.1), u$ is solution of $(\mathrm{LP})$ in $[0, \mathrm{~T}]$ and $u$ satisfies (3.2) in $[0, \mathrm{~T}]$ (see[13]). Consider $0<t_{0}<T_{\max }$. Taking the scalar product of H in both sides of equation $(L P)_{1}$ by $2 S^{2 \alpha+2} u^{\prime}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right] & +M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \delta\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}=0, t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{d}{d t}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{d}{d t}\left[\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}\right]=-2 \delta \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our goal is to show that $\varphi(t)$ is not increasing. By Proposition 3.1 and (3.3), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)} \beta M^{\prime}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta-1} \\
& \left\langle\frac{J u(t)}{\|u(t)\|_{W}}, u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle_{W \times W^{\prime}}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}-\frac{2 \delta\left|S^{\alpha+1)} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}, t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\left[\frac{\beta\left|M^{\prime}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\right|\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta-1}}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)} \frac{\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{W}}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|\left. u(t)\right|_{W} ^{\beta}\right)}-2 \delta\right]
$$

Then hypothesis $(H 4)_{2}$ and embedding (H3) give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\left[\beta C_{0} k_{0} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1)} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|\left. u(t)\right|_{W} ^{\beta}\right)}-2 \delta\right], \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the function

$$
\psi(t)=\beta C_{0} k_{0} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1)} u^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|\left. u(t)\right|_{W} ^{\beta}\right)}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t) \leq \beta C_{0} k_{0} \varphi^{1 / 2}(t), \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We affirm that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)<\delta, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, suppose that there exists $t_{1} \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$ such that $\psi(t) \geq \delta$. By hypothesis (H6), we have $\psi(0)<\delta$. Consider

$$
t^{*}=\inf \left\{t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] ; \psi(t)=\delta\right\}>0
$$

As $\psi(t)$ is continuous in $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$, we have that $\psi\left(t^{*}\right)=\delta$, which implies by (3.5) that $\varphi(t)$ is not increasing on $\left[0, t^{*}\right]$. Then by hypothesis (H6) and (3.6), we obtain:

$$
\psi(t) \leq \beta C_{0} k_{0} \varphi^{1 / 2}(0)<\delta, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right]
$$

which is a contradiction since $\psi\left(t^{*}\right)=\delta$. So (3.7) holds.
If follows from (3.7), (3.5) and noting that $0<t_{0}<T_{\max }$ was arbitrary that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq-\delta \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|\left. u(t)\right|_{W} ^{\beta}\right)}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{\max }[.\right. \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)} & +\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{1}\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u^{0}\right|^{2}< \\
& <\frac{\delta^{2}}{\beta^{2} C_{0}^{2} k_{0}^{2}}=N_{0}^{2}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{\max }[.\right. \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if $T_{\max }$ is infinite then (3.9) give the theorem. Suppose that $T_{\max }$ is finite. Then (3.9) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2} \leq N_{0}^{2}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{\max }[.\right. \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\|u(t)\|_{W} \leq k_{1}\|u(t)\|_{D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right)}$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right.$ [, we have by (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq N_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{\max }[.\right. \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a sequence of real number $\left(t_{\nu}\right)$ such that $0<t_{\nu}<T_{\max }$ and $t_{\nu} \rightarrow T_{\text {max }}$. By (3.10) and (3.11) we have that there exist $\zeta \in D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right)$ and $\chi \in D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u\left(t_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \zeta \text { weak in } D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right)  \tag{3.12}\\
& u^{\prime}\left(t_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \chi \text { weak in } D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We affirm that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\chi=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $\zeta \neq 0$ with $\zeta$ and $\chi$ we determine the local solution w of the problem

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& w^{\prime \prime}+M\left(\|w\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) S w+\delta w^{\prime}=0 \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{\alpha+1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
& w(0)=\zeta, w^{\prime}(0)=\chi
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

(see [13]). Then the function

$$
\widetilde{u}(t)=\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& w(t), \quad 0 \leq t<T_{\max } \\
& w\left(t-T_{\max }\right), \quad T_{\max } \leq t<T_{0}+T_{\max }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

is a solution of Problem (P) in $\left[0, T_{0}+T_{\max }\right]$, with $\|\widetilde{u}(t)\|_{W}>0$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{0}+T_{\max }\right]$. This gives a contradiction with the definition of $T_{\max }$. So $\zeta=0$.

Also by (3.11),

$$
\left\|u\left(t_{\nu}\right)-u\left(t_{\mu}\right)\right\|_{D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)} \leq \int_{t_{\mu}}^{t_{\nu}}\left\|u^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)} d s \leq N_{1}\left|t_{\nu}-t_{\mu}\right|,
$$

that is, $\left(u\left(t_{\nu}\right)\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)$. As $\zeta=0$, (3.12) implies then

$$
u\left(t_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right)
$$

In particular

$$
u\left(t_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } W .
$$

By estimate (3.9), we have:

$$
\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \leq N_{0}^{2} M\left(\left\|u\left(t_{\nu}\right)\right\|_{W}\right)
$$

As $M(0)=0$ it follows from this inequality and convergence (3.13) that $\chi=0$. So the affirmation (3.14) is correct.

Also by equation $(P)_{1}$ we have that

$$
u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } D\left(S^{\alpha+1 / 2}\right)
$$

Thus if $T_{\max }$ is finite we define $u(t)=0$ for $t \geq T_{\max }$. This extension is a solution of Problem (P) in $[0, \infty[$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.2

We begin with a previous result.

Lemma 4.1 Let $\beta>1$ a real number, $M:[0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function of class $C^{1}$ and $u \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, \infty[; W)\right.\right.$. Then if $u\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, we have that the Leibniz derivative $\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)$ is equal to zero.

Proof: Consider $t_{0}>0$ and $u\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Then

$$
u\left(t_{0}+h\right)=u\left(t_{0}+h\right)-u\left(t_{0}\right)=h \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}+\tau h\right) d \tau
$$

which implies for $0<|h|<\min \left\{1, t_{0} / 2\right\}$,

$$
\left\|u\left(t_{0}+h\right)\right\|_{W}^{\beta} \leq|h|^{\beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}+\tau h\right)\right\|_{W} d \tau\right)^{\beta} \leq|h|^{\beta} C^{\beta}
$$

where

$$
C=\max \left\{\left\|u^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{W} ; t_{0} / 2 \leq s \leq t_{0}+1\right\} .
$$

The last inequality gives the result since $\beta>1$. Analogous arguments give the result when $t_{0}=0$ and $u(0)=0$.

Let $u_{j}^{0}$ and $u_{j}^{1}$ be two sequences of vectors of $D\left(S^{2 \alpha+5 / 2}\right)$ and $D\left(S^{2 \alpha+2}\right)$, respectively, such that

$$
u_{j}^{0} \rightarrow u^{0} \text { in } D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right), \quad u_{j}^{0} \rightarrow u^{1} \text { in } D\left(S^{\alpha+1}\right) .
$$

By these convergence and (H9), we have:

$$
\beta C_{1} k_{0} M^{1 / 2}\left(k_{1}^{\beta} \varphi_{j}^{\beta / 2}(0)\right) \varphi_{j}^{1 / 2}(0)<\delta, \quad j \geq j_{0},
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{j}(0)=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{1}\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u_{j}^{0}\right|^{2}
$$

Consider the problem ( $j \geq j_{0}$ )

$$
\left(P_{j}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& u_{j}^{\prime \prime}+M\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) S u_{j}+\delta u_{j}^{\prime}=0 \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right), \\
& u_{j}(0)=u_{j}^{0}, \quad u_{j}^{\prime}(0)=u_{j}^{1} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

By applying the Galerkin method, the successive approximations technique and the spectral theory of the operator S, Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, Proposition (3.1), Lemma (4.1), we obtain a local solution $u_{j}$ of $\left(P_{j}\right)$, that is, we find a real number $T_{0}>0$ and a function $u_{j}$ in the class

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{j} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+5 / 2}\right)\right), \\
u_{j}^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+2}\right)\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
u_{j}^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

such that, $u_{j}$ is the solution of Problem $\left(P_{j}\right)$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right)$ (see the methodology of this approach in S. S. Souza and the third A. [27]). The same arguments allow us to obtain a real number $T_{0}>0$ and a solution $u$ in the class

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right) \\
u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+1}\right)\right) \\
u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+1 / 2}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

such that, $u$ is the unique solution of the problem

$$
\left(P^{\prime}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime \prime}+M\left(\|u\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) S u_{j}+\delta u^{\prime}=0 \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+1 / 2}\right)\right), \\
& u(0)=\zeta, u^{\prime}(0)=\eta,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\zeta \in D\left(S^{\alpha+3 / 2}\right)$ and $\eta \in D\left(S^{2 \alpha+1}\right)$ are arbitrary.
Let $\mathcal{M}_{j}$ be the set of real numbers $T>0$ such that there exists a unique function $u_{j}$ in class (4.1) with T instead $T_{0}$ and $u_{j}$ is the solution of $\left(P_{j}\right)$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right)$. Then by the result of local existence above, we have that $\mathcal{M}_{j} \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $T_{\max , j}$ the supremum of $T \in \mathcal{M}$.

Let $\varphi_{j}(t), j \geq j_{0}$, be the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}(t)=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}(t)\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u_{j}(t)\right|^{2}, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{\max , j}[.\right. \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then as in the proof of Theorem (2.1), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}(t)\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\left[\beta C_{1} k_{0}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|-2 \delta\right], \quad t \in\left[0, T_{\max , j}[.\right. \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function

$$
\psi_{1}(t)=\beta C_{1} k_{0}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{\max , j}[.\right.
$$

By (H9) and noting that $M(\xi)$ is increasing, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1}(0)=\beta C_{1} k_{0} M^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|u_{j}^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{1}\right|}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|u_{j}^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)} \\
\leq \beta C_{1} k_{0} M^{1 / 2}\left(k_{1}^{\beta} \varphi_{j}^{\beta / 2}(0)\right) \varphi_{j}^{1 / 2}(0)<\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

By similar arguments used in the proof Theorem 2.1, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}(t)<\delta, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{\max , j}[.\right. \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result and (4.3) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\delta \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1)} u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}(t)\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}, t \in\left[0, T_{\max , j}[\right. \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}(t)\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u_{j}(t)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{1}\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}^{0}\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u_{j}^{0}\right|^{2}< \\
<\frac{\delta^{2}}{\beta^{2} C_{1}^{2} k_{0}^{2} M\left(k_{1}^{\beta} \varphi_{j}^{\beta / 2}(0)\right)}, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{\max , j}[.\right. \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

By inequality (4.4), local existence of solution of $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, uniqueness of solution of Problem $\left(P_{j}\right)$ in any $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; D\left(S^{2 \alpha+3 / 2}\right)\right)$ and by similar argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain that $T_{\max , j}$ is infinite for $j \geq j_{0}$.

Fix $j \geq j_{0}$ and consider $\epsilon>0$. Introduce the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t)=\frac{\left(S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}^{\prime}(t), S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}(t)\right)}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}(t)\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{\delta}{2} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u_{j}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\left\|u_{j}(t)\right\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}, t \in[0, \infty[ \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\epsilon}(t)=\varphi_{j}(t)+\epsilon \rho(t), \quad t \in[0, \infty[, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{j}$ is the solution of $\left(P_{j}\right)$.
In what follows, to facilitate the notation, we omit the subscript j . We have:

$$
|\rho(t)| \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|}{C_{*} m_{0}^{1 / 2} M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|+\frac{\delta}{2} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}}{m_{0} C_{*}}
$$

where $C_{*}$ were defined in (2.4), that is,

$$
|\rho(t)| \leq\left[\frac{1}{C_{*} m_{0}^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\delta}{2 m_{0} C_{*}}\right] \varphi(t), \quad t \in[0, \infty[.
$$

So, this inequality and (4.8) imply

$$
\left|\varphi_{\epsilon}(t)\right| \leq\left(1+\epsilon P_{0}\right) \varphi(t), \text { where } P_{0}=\frac{1}{C_{*} m_{0}^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\delta}{2 m_{0} C_{*}}
$$

Then taking $0<\epsilon \leq 1 / 2 P_{0}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \varphi(t) \leq \varphi_{\epsilon}(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} \varphi(t), \quad t \in[0, \infty[. \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other side, by taking the scalar product of H in both sides of equation $\left(P_{j}\right)_{1}$ by $S^{2 \alpha+2} u$, we obtain:

$$
\left(S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime \prime}(t), S^{\alpha+1} u(t)\right)+M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}+\frac{\delta}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u(t)\right|^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\frac{\frac{d}{d t}\left(S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t), S^{\alpha+1} u(t)\right)}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{\delta}{2} \frac{\frac{d}{d t}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}-\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}
$$

Combining this equality with the definition (4.7) of $\rho(t)$, we deduce, for $u(t) \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}-\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}- \\
\frac{\beta M^{\prime}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta-1}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\left\langle\frac{J u(t)}{\|u(t)\|_{W}}, u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \\
\left(\frac{S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}, \frac{S^{\alpha+1} u(t)}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\right)- \\
\frac{\delta \beta M^{\prime}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta-1}}{2 M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\left\langle\frac{J u(t)}{\|u(t)\|_{W}}, u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}=
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}-\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}-L_{1}-L_{2} ; \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $u(t)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}-\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Lemma 4.1). We have, by hypothesis $(H 7)_{4}$, (4.4), and hypothesis (H10):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|L_{1}\right| \leq \beta C_{1} k_{0}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right| \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u(t)\right|}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)} \leq \\
\leq \beta C_{1} k_{0}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|\left(\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{2 M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u(t)\right|^{2}}{2 M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\right) \leq \\
\leq \frac{\delta}{4} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{\beta C_{1} k_{0}\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}}{2 m_{0}^{1 / 2} C_{*}^{2} M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)^{1 / 2}} \leq \\
\leq \frac{\delta}{4} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{1}{4}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Also

$$
\left|L_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\delta C_{1} k_{0}}{2 m_{0}^{1 / 2} C_{*}^{2}} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M^{1 / 2}\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}
$$

Combining (4.10), (4.11) and the last two inequalities, we obtain:

$$
\rho^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}-\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}+\frac{\delta}{4} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}
$$

This inequality, the definition (4.8) of $\varphi_{\epsilon}$ and inequality (4.3), give:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\delta \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\epsilon \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}-\epsilon\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2} \\
& +\epsilon \frac{\delta}{4} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u(t), t \in[0, \infty[$. Noting that $\epsilon \leq \min \{1, \delta / 4\}$, we obtain:

$$
\varphi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{\delta}{2} \frac{\left|S^{\alpha+1} u^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right)}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\left|S^{\alpha+3 / 2} u(t)\right|^{2}, \quad t \in[0, \infty[,
$$

that implies by (4.9),

$$
\varphi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{\tau_{0}}{3} \varphi_{\epsilon}(t), \quad t \in[0, \infty[,
$$

which gives

$$
\varphi_{\epsilon}(t) \leq \varphi_{\epsilon}(0) e^{-\frac{\tau_{0}}{3} t}, t \in[0, \infty[.
$$

Therefore, by (4.9),

$$
\varphi_{j}(t) \leq 3 \varphi_{j}(0) e^{-\frac{\tau_{0}}{3} t}, \quad t \in\left[0, \infty\left[, \quad j \geq j_{0}\right.\right.
$$

By the methodology used in [27], we obtain the limit $u$ of the solutions $u_{j}$ is the solution of Problem (P) with u in class (2.3). Also by taking the lim inf in both sides of the last inequality, we deduce inequality (2.7).

## 5 Examples

The result obtained in Theorem 2.1 can be applied to the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\|u(t)\|_{W}^{2} S u(t)+\delta u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad t>0 .
$$

Here $M(\xi)=\xi^{2 / \beta}$. And the result obtained in Theorem 2.2, to the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+M\left(\|u(t)\|_{W}^{\beta}\right) S u(t)+\delta u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad t>0
$$

where $M(\xi)=\xi^{\sigma}+m_{0}, \sigma \geq 1 / \beta$ and $m_{0}>0$. For the mixed problem associated to these equation, see [13].
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