

HOMOTHETIES AND ISOMETRIES OF METRIC SPACES

Mauro Patrão * 10

Abstract

Let (M,d) be a metric space. We prove that when the group of homotheties H(M,d) is a locally compact group, with respect to the compact-open topology, it is a Lie group if, and only if, the group of isometries I(M,d) is a Lie group. Then we prove that when (M,d) is a Heine-Borel metric space, its group of homotheties H(M,d) is also a Heine-Borel metric space and, if (M,d) is a Heine-Borel ultrametric space, its group of isometries is an increasing union of compact subgroups. We also prove that when (M,d) is locally compact and the space $\Sigma(M)$ of the connected components of M is quasi-compact, its group of homotheties H(M,d) is locally compact. As applications we give some generalizations of classical results in Riemannian geometry. Namely, if (M,d) is a Finsler manifold or an Alexandroff space, then its group of homotheties is a Lie group. With some additional hypothesis, this is also true for a Hadamard space.

1 Introduction

Let (M,d) be a metric space. The group of homotheties H(M,d) is defined by

$$H(M,d) = \{f \in C(M) : f \text{ is onto and } d(f(x),f(y)) = \lambda(f)d(x,y), \ \forall x,y \in M\},$$

where C(M) is the set of all continuous mappings of M into itself and $\lambda(f) > 0$ is a constant. The group of isometries $I(M,d) = \{f \in H(M,d) : \lambda(f) = 1\}$ is a closed normal subgroup of H(M,d). It is a classical result of the theory

^{*}I thank the help of my friends L. Seco and L. San Martin and the careful comments of the referee.

Supported by FAPESP: proc. n° 02/13839-4

Keywords: homothety group, isometry group, proper action, Lie group, Heine-Borel metric space, ultrametric space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 54E40, 54E45; Secondary: 22E99, 54H15.

 $M. \ PATR \tilde{A}O$

of topological groups due to Gleason and Yamabe (cf. [10]) that a topological group G is a Lie group if, and only if, it is locally compact and has no small subgroups. Using this deep result, we prove that when the group of homotheties H(M,d) is a locally compact group, it is a Lie group if, and only if, the group of isometries I(M,d) is a Lie group.

Then we prove that, under some reasonable conditions over (M, d), the group of homotheties H(M, d) is locally compact. Since the work of van Dantzig and van der Waerden [4], it is well known that if M is connected and locally compact then its group of isometries I(M, d) is locally compact with respect to the compact-open topology. Recently, Manoussos-Strantzalos [9] replaced the connectivity of M by the weaker hypothesis that the space $\Sigma(M)$ of the connected components of M is quasi-compact (compact but not necessarily Hausdorff) with respect to quotient topology. Also, Gao-Kechris [13] proved a stronger result about the group of isometries I(M, d) encompassing the above ones.

We prove some extensions and variations of these results for the group of homotheties H(M,d). First we consider Heine-Borel metric spaces, i.e., metric spaces whose the compact subsets are the bounded and closed ones. We prove that for a Heine-Borel metric space (M,d) its group of homotheties H(M,d) is also a Heine-Borel metric space. In particular, it follows that I(M,d) is a Heine-Borel metric space. For this result we do not require any further assumption, like e.g. the quasi-compactness of [9]. The set $M=\mathbb{Z}$ of integers with the standard distance d(x,y)=|x-y|, is a simple example of a metric space where $\Sigma(M)$ is not quasi-compact but M is a Heine-Borel space, so our results apply to it. As a consequence of our methods we give a new proof of the fact [13] that action of I(M,d) on M is proper if (M,d) is Heine-Borel.

The class of Heine-Borel metric spaces is interesting for two facts. First, because there is a consequence of the Hopf-Rinow theorem for length spaces, (cf. [2]), which claims that (M, d) is Heine-Borel if and only if it is complete and locally compact. Second, because of the following fact: if (M, d) is a separable and locally compact metric space then there is a metric d' equivalent to d such

that (M, d') is Heine-Borel [8].

We also consider the group of isometries I(M, d) of Heine-Borel ultrametric spaces (M, d), i.e., metric spaces where

$$d(x, z) \le \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\},\$$

for all $x,y,z\in M$. It is proved that if (M,d) is a Heine-Borel ultrametric space then its group of isometries is an increasing union of compact subgroups. This result is an improvement of a theorem due to Gao-Kechris [13], which states that the group of isometries is the closure of an increasing union of compact subgroups. Also, we prove that if (M,d) is a Heine-Borel ultrametric space and G is a finitely generated subgroup of I(M,d) then $\mathrm{cl}(G)$ is compact. Heine-Borel ultrametric spaces are extensively used in Number Theory because of the Ostrowski theorem [3], [12] which states that every nontrivial norm on $\mathbb Q$ is equivalent to the standard absolute value or to the Heine-Borel ultrametric p-adic norm for some prime p.

Next we consider metric spaces (M,d) such that the space $\Sigma(M)$ of the connected components of M is quasi-compact with respect to quotient topology. First we generalize the result of [9] for the group of homotheties: if M is a locally compact metric space and $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact then its group of homotheties H(M,d) is locally compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

In this setting we also look at the quasi-metric spaces, i.e., a space (M,d) where d satisfies all the distance axioms, except perhaps that d(x,y) is not necessarily equal to d(y,x) for all $x,y\in M$, and such that the topology generated by forwards metric balls is equal to the topology generated by backwards metric balls. We prove that if M is a locally compact quasi-metric space and $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact then its group of homotheties H(M,d) is locally compact with respect to the compact-open topology. An interesting example of quasi-metric spaces are the Finsler manifolds, where its Finsler function F is positively homogeneous but not necessary absolutely homogeneous and, for all $x,y\in M$, we define d(x,y) as the greatest lower bound of the length of all smooth curves joining x to y.

As applications we give some generalizations of classical results in Riemannian geometry. If (M, d) is a Finsler manifold or an Alexandroff space, then its group of homotheties is a Lie group. With some additional hypothesis, this is also true for a Hadamard space.

2 Homotheties and isometries

Let (M,d) be a metric space. We denote the closed and open metric balls of (M,d) centered in $x \in M$ with radius r > 0, respectively, by $B[x,r] = \{y \in M : d(x,y) \le r\}$ and by $B(x,r) = \{y \in M : d(x,y) < r\}$. We also denote the closure of $N \subset M$ by cl(N). The group of homotheties of M is defined by

$$H(M,d) = \{ f \in C(M) : f \text{ is onto and } d(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda(f)d(x,y), \ \forall x, y \in M \},$$

where C(M) is the set of all continuous mappings of M into itself and $\lambda(f) > 0$ is a constant. The group of isometries of M is defined by $I(M,d) = \{f \in H(M) : \lambda(f) = 1\}$. We show next that there is a continuous homomorphism from H(M,d) to the multiplicative group $(0,\infty)$:

Lemma 2.1. The mapping $f \mapsto \lambda(f)$ is a continuous homomorphism, with respect to the compact-open topology, from H(M,d) to the multiplicative group $(0,\infty)$.

Proof. Clearly, for all $f,g \in H(M,d)$, we have $\lambda(f \circ g) = \lambda(f)\lambda(g)$ and $\lambda(f^{-1}) = \lambda(f)^{-1}$. To see that λ is continuous and H(M,d) is closed, with respect to the compact-open topology, let $(f_i)_{i\in I}$ be a net in H(M,d) such that $f_i \to f$ in the compact-open topology, which implies that $f_i(x) \to f(x)$, for all $x \in M$. Let $w, z \in M$ be such that $w \neq z$. Then

$$\lambda(f_i) = \frac{d(f_i(w), f_i(z))}{d(w, z)} \to \frac{d(f(w), f(z))}{d(w, z)} = \lambda(f). \tag{1}$$

Let G be a subgroup of H(M, d) and denote [G, G] the commutator group, that is, the smallest closed subgroup of G containing all elements of the form

 $[f,g] = f \circ g \circ f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$. From what was shown above, we obtain the following corollary for the groups H(M,d) and I(M,d) of a metric space (M,d):

Proposition 2.1. Let (M, d) be a metric space and G a subgroup of H(M, d). Then the following statements holds:

- 1. I(M,d) is a closed normal subgroup of H(M,d).
- 2. If G/[G,G] is compact, then G is a subgroup of I(M,d).
- 3. If G is compact then G is a compact subgroup of I(M,d).

Proof.

- 1. Clearly I(M,d) is the kernel of the continuous homomorphism λ .
- 2. Since λ is a homomorphism and $(0, \infty)$ is an abelian group, it follows that $[G, G] \subset \ker(\lambda)$. Hence, since λ is a continuous homomorphism, $\lambda(G)$ is isomorphic to $G/\ker(\lambda)$ and therefore $\lambda(G)$ is a compact subgroup of $(0, \infty)$. Hence $\lambda(G) = \{1\}$ implying that $G \subset I(M, d)$.
- 3. If G is compact, G/[G, G] is compact.

Since the group of isometries I(M,d) is a closed subgroup of H(M,d) with respect to the compact-open topology most of the results for H(M,d) apply immediately to the group of isometries. A topological group G has no small subgroups if there is a neighborhood V of the identity element $e \in G$ with the following property: if $H \subset V$ is a subgroup of G, then $H = \{e\}$. The next result is a consequence of a theorem due Gleason and Yamabe (cf. [15], [10]) which states that G is a Lie Group if, and only if, G is a locally compact group and has no small subgroups.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that H(M,d) is locally compact. Then I(M,d) is a Lie group if, and only if, H(M,d) is a Lie group.

Proof. If H(M,d) is a Lie group, then I(M,d) is a Lie group, since I(M,d) is a closed subgroup. Assume that I(M,d) is a Lie group. Then I(M,d) has no small subgroups, i.e., there is a neighborhood $U \subset I(M,d)$ of the identity id in I(M,d) such that if $G \subset U$ is a subgroup of I(M,d), then $G = \{id\}$. Let $V \subset H(M,d)$ be a neighborhood of the identity in H(M,d) such that $U = V \cap I(M,d)$ and $W \subset V$ another neighborhood of the identity in H(M,d) such that I(M,d) is compact. Let I(M,d) be a subgroup of I(M,d). Thus I(M,d) is compact and hence I(M,d) is locally compact and has no small subgroups and hence is a Lie group.

In the sections 3 and 5, we prove that, under some reasonable conditions over (M, d), the group of homotheties H(M, d) is locally compact.

3 Heine-Borel metric spaces

Let (M,d) be a Heine-Borel metric space, i.e., a metric space such that a subset K is compact if and only if it is bounded and closed. If we fix a point $x_0 \in M$, then $M = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B[x_0, n]$. As (M,d) is a Heine-Borel metric space, all closed metric balls are compact. Therefore M is separable and C(M) is a metric space with respect to the compact-open topology (cf. [8]) with the distance Δ defined by

$$\Delta(f,g) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d_n(f,g)}{1 + d_n(f,g)}$$
 (2)

where $d_n(f,g) = \sup_{x \in B[x_0,n]} d(f(x),g(x))$. For $f \in C(M)$, we denote

$$\alpha(f) = \inf_{x \neq y} \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(f) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)}. \quad (3)$$

When $f \in H(M, d)$ then f is onto and $\alpha(f) = \beta(f) = \lambda(f) > 0$. The following lemma is essential for the results in the next section:

Lemma 3.1. For all $w, z \in M$ and all a, b, c > 0, the set

$$V[w,z,a,b,c] = \{ f \in C(M) : fis \ onto, a \le \alpha(f) \le \beta(f) \le b \ and \ d(f(w),z) \le c \}$$
 (4)

is compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

Proof. For all $f \in V = V[w, z, a, b, c]$ and all $x \in M$, we have $d(f(x), z) \leq$ $d(f(x), f(w)) + d(f(w), z) \leq bd(x, w) + c$, and, since M is Heine-Borel, V(x) = $\{f(x): f \in V\}$ is relatively compact in M. Since for all $f \in V$, we have $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq bd(x, y)$, then V is a uniformly equicontinuous family and so, by Arzelá-Ascoli's theorem for spaces that are an increasing countable union of compact subsets (cf. [8]), V is relatively compact in C(M) with respect to the compact-open topology. It remains to prove that V is closed with respect to the compact-open topology. Let $\bar{f} \in cl(V)$, where cl(V) is the closure of V in C(M), with respect to the compact-open topology, and let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in V such that $f_n \to \bar{f}$. By taking limits in the inequalities of (4), we have $a \leq \alpha(\bar{f}) \leq \beta(\bar{f}) \leq b$ and $d(\bar{f}(w), z) \leq c$. To show that f is onto, let $y \in M$. Since each f_n is onto, there is a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in M such that $f_n(x_n)=y$. Hence $d(x_n, y) \le a^{-1} d(f_n(x_n), f_n(y)) = a^{-1} d(y, f_n(y))$. Since $f_n(y) \to \bar{f}(y)$, we obtain that $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in the Heine-Borel space M. Hence there are $x \in M$ and a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_{n_k} \to x$. Thus $d(\bar{f}(x), y) \le d(\bar{f}(x), f_{n_k}(x)) + d(f_{n_k}(x), f_{n_k}(x_{n_k})) \le d(\bar{f}(x), f_{n_k}(x)) + bd(x, x_{n_k}),$ and, since $f_{n_k}(x) \to \bar{f}(x)$ and $x_{n_k} \to x$, it follows that $d(\bar{f}(x), y) = 0$. Therefore \bar{f} is onto showing that V is compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

We have that $\log:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous homomorphism from the multiplicative group $(0,\infty)$ to the additive group \mathbb{R} . Thus the mapping $f\mapsto \log(\lambda(f))$ is a continuous homomorphism from H(M,d) to the additive group \mathbb{R} and we can define a distance δ equivalent to Δ (cf. [8]) by

$$\delta(f,g) = \Delta(f,g) + d(f(x_0),g(x_0)) + |\log(\lambda(f)) - \log(\lambda(g))|.$$
 (5)

 $M.~PATR ilde{A}O$

We denote the closed metric ball of $(H(M,d), \delta)$ centered in $f \in H(M,d)$ with radius r > 0 by $\mathcal{B}[f,r] = \{g \in H(M,d) : \delta(f,g) \leq r\}.$

The next result is an extension of the van Dantzig and van der Waerden's result for the group of homotheties H(M,d) when (M,d) is Heine-Borel:

Theorem 3.1. If (M,d) is a Heine-Borel metric space, then H(M,d) is a Heine-Borel metric space.

Proof. We have to show that $\mathcal{B}[\mathrm{id}, r]$ is compact for all r > 0, where id is the identity mapping. This is done by showing that $\mathcal{B}[\mathrm{id}, r] \subset V[w, z, a, b, c]$, for some V[w, z, a, b, c] like in Lemma 3.1. For all $f \in \mathcal{B}[\mathrm{id}, r]$, we have $d(f(x_0), x_0) \leq r$ and

$$|\log(\lambda(f))| = |\log(\lambda(f)) - \log(\lambda(\mathrm{id}))| \le r. \tag{6}$$

Thus $\exp(-r) \leq \lambda(f) \leq \exp(r)$ and $\mathcal{B}[\mathrm{id}, r] \subset V = V[x_0, x_0, \exp(-r), \exp(r), r]$. It remains to prove that $\mathcal{B}[\mathrm{id}, r]$ is closed in C(M), with respect to the compact-open topology, and hence compact. Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}[\mathrm{id}, r]$ be a sequence such that $f_n \to f$. The compactness of V implies that f is onto. By taking limits, $\delta(\mathrm{id}, f) \leq r$ and, for all $x, y \in M$, with $x \neq y$ we have

$$\frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)} = \lim \frac{d(f_n(x), f_n(y))}{d(x, y)} = \lim \lambda(f_n) = \lambda(f)$$
(7)

and therefore $f \in \mathcal{B}[\mathrm{id}, r]$.

The following corollary is a immediately consequence of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.1. Let (M,d) be a Heine-Borel space. Then we have:

- 1. I(M,d) is a Heine-Borel metric space.
- 2. I(M,d) is a Lie group if, and only if, H(M,d) is a Lie group.

The action of a group of transformations G on a metric space M is proper if, and only if, for all $w, z \in M$ there are neighborhoods U_w and U_z of w and z,

respectively, such that the subset $\{g \in G : gU_w \cap U_z \neq \emptyset\}$ is relatively compact with respect to the compact-open topology. The theorem below is a special case (for Heine-Borel spaces (M,d)) of a result on the action of I(M,d) on M that can be found in [13]:

Theorem 3.2. If (M, d) is a Heine-Borel metric space, then the action of I(M, d) on M is proper.

Proof. Let $w, z \in M$, $U_w = B[w, 1]$, $U_z = B[z, 1]$. Take $f \in \{g \in I(M, d) : gU_w \cap U_z \neq \emptyset\}$. Then there is $y \in U_w$ such that $f(y) \in U_z$. Therefore $d(f(w), z) \leq d(f(w), f(y)) + d(f(y), z) \leq d(w, y) + d(f(y), z) \leq 2$. Hence $\{g \in I(M, d) : gU_w \cap U_z \neq \emptyset\} \subset V[w, z, 1, 1, 2]$ and, by Lemma 3.1, is relatively compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

Remark 3.1. It is well known that Theorem 3.2 is not true if the group of isometries is replaced by the group of homotheties H(M,d). For instance, let the space of real numbers \mathbb{R} be endowed with the usual distance and take the sequence $(g_n)_{n\geq k}$ with $g_n(x)=nx$. We see that it does not have any convergent subsequence in the compact-open topology. On the other hand, since 0 is a fixed point for all g_n , there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(g_n)_{n\geq k}\subset \{g\in H(M,d): gU_0\cap U_1\neq\emptyset\},\$$

where U_0 and U_1 are arbitrary neighborhoods, respectively, of 0 and 1.

4 Heine-Borel ultrametric spaces

In this section, we consider (M,d) be a Heine-Borel ultrametric metric space, i.e., a metric space where

$$d(x,z) \le \max\{d(x,y),d(y,z)\},$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$. Given a subset $F \subset I(M, d)$ of isometries and $x \in M$, we let $\langle F \rangle$ be the subgroup of isometries generated by F and $F(x) = \{f(x) : f \in F\}$, the orbit of x by F.

Lemma 4.1. Take $x \in M$ and let $G = \langle F \rangle$, where $F \subset I(M,d)$. If $F(x) \subset B[x,r]$, where r > 0, then $G(x) \subset B[x,r]$ and hence cl(G) is a compact subgroup.

Proof. If $f \in F$ then $d(f^{-1}(x), x) = d(f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(f(x))) = d(x, f(x)) \le r$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $g = f_1 \cdots f_n$ with $f_i \in F$ or $f_i^{-1} \in F$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We proceed by induction on n to show that $g(x) \in B[x, r]$. If n = 1, by the previous inequality, $g(x) \in B[x, r]$. Assume that the result is true for n. Let $g = f_1 \cdots f_n f_{n+1}$ and define $h = f_1 \cdots f_n$. Hence

$$d(g(x), x) = d(h(f_{n+1}(x)), x) \le \max\{d(h(f_{n+1}(x)), h(x)), d(h(x), x)\} = (8)$$
$$= \max\{d(f_{n+1}(x), x), d(h(x), x)\} \le r, \qquad (9)$$

by the induction hypothesis, and for all $g \in G = \langle F \rangle$, $g(x) \in B[x, r]$. Therefore $G(x) \subset B[x, c]$ and cl(G)(x) is compact. The properness of the action implies that cl(G) is a compact subgroup (see [14] and also [13]).

The next corollary states that if $G \subset I(M,d)$ has the algebraic property to be finitely generated then the closure of G has the topological property to be compact:

Corollary 4.1. Let (M,d) a Heine-Borel ultrametric space. If $G \subset I(M,d)$ is finitely generated then cl(G) is a compact subgroup.

Proof. We have $G = \langle F \rangle$, where $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. Hence for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have $d(f_i(x), x) \leq \max\{d(f_1(x), x), \dots, d(f_n(x), x)\} = r$. By the Lemma 4.1, cl(G) is a compact subgroup.

Finally we have the following improvement of a theorem due to Gao and Kechris [13]:

Theorem 4.1. Let (M, d) a Heine-Borel ultrametric space. $I(M, d) = \bigcup G_n$, where G_n are compact subgroups such that $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $x \in M$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$G_n = \{ f \in I(M, d) : d(f(x), x) \le n \}.$$
(10)

By Lemma 4.1 we have that $\langle G_n \rangle = G_n$ and $\operatorname{cl}(G_n)$ is compact. But it is easy to verify that G_n is closed in I(M,d) with respect to the compact-open topology, showing that G_n is a compact subgroup. Clearly, we also have $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$ and $I(M,d) = \bigcup G_n$.

Theorem 4.1 also shows that, when (M, d) is Heine-Borel ultrametric space, I(M, d) is an amenable group (cf. [17]).

5 $\Sigma(M)$ quasi-compact

Let (M, d) be a locally compact metric space and C(M) the set of all continuous maps of M into itself. The following four lemmas are generalizations of some results of Manoussos-Strantzalos [9]. First we define

$$V[a,b] = \{ f \in C(M) : f \text{ is onto, } a \le \alpha(f) \le \beta(f) \le b \}. \tag{11}$$

where a, b > 0 and $\alpha(f)$, $\beta(f)$ are as in Equation (3). V[a, b] is clearly an uniformly equicontinuous family of C(M).

Lemma 5.1. For all a, b > 0 and for all $V \subset V[a, b]$, let $V(x) = \{f(x) : f \in V\}$. Then the set

$$K(V) = \{x \in M : V(x) \text{ is relatively compact}\},$$
 (12)

is an open and closed subset of M.

Proof. The fact that K(V) is open, is a consequence of the uniform equicontinuity of $V \subset C(M)$. In fact, take $x \in K(V)$ and for $y \in \operatorname{cl}(V(x))$ let $\varepsilon_y > 0$ be such that $B(y, \varepsilon_y)$ is relatively compact. We see that $\{B(y, \varepsilon_y)\}_{y \in \operatorname{cl}(V(x))}$ is a covering of the compact set $\operatorname{cl}(V(x))$. Hence there are $y_i \in \operatorname{cl}(V(x))$,

 $i\in\{1,\cdots,m\}$, such that $\{B(y_i,\varepsilon_{y_i})\}_{i\in\{1,\cdots,m\}}$ is a finite subcovering. If we take $\delta< b^{-1}$ inf d(u,v), where $u\in\operatorname{cl}(V(x))$ and $v\in M\setminus\bigcup_{i\in\{1,\cdots,m\}}B(y_i,\varepsilon_{y_i})$, then $V(z)\subset\bigcup_{i\in\{1,\cdots,m\}}B(y_i,\varepsilon_{y_i})$, for all $z\in B(x,\delta)$ and therefore $B(x,\delta)\subset K(V)$. We prove now that K(V) is closed. For all $N\subset M$, we define $B(N,\varepsilon)=\bigcup_{x\in N}B(x,\varepsilon)$. Take $x\in\operatorname{cl}(K(V))$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $B(x,\frac{5b}{a}\varepsilon)$ is relatively compact, and $y\in K(V)\cap B(x,\varepsilon)$. Hence $\operatorname{cl}(V(y))\subset B(V(B(x,\varepsilon)),a\varepsilon)\subset V(B(x,2\varepsilon))$, because for each y,z such that $d(y,x)<\varepsilon$ and $d(z,f(y))< a\varepsilon$, for some $f\in V$, then $d(f^{-1}(z),x)\leq d(f^{-1}(z),y)+d(y,x)\leq a^{-1}d(z,f(y))+d(y,x)<2\varepsilon$ and therefore $f^{-1}(z)\in B(x,2\varepsilon)$, so $z\in V(B(x,2\varepsilon))$. By the compactness of $\operatorname{cl}(V(y))$ we can get a finite subset $F\subset V$ such that $\operatorname{cl}(V(y))\subset F(B(x,2\varepsilon))$. We show that V(x) is contained in the relatively compact set $F(B(x,\frac{5b}{a}\varepsilon))$. Let $f\in V$ and $g\in F$ such that $f(y)\in g(B(x,2\varepsilon))\subset B(g(x),2b\varepsilon)$. Hence

$$d(f(x), g(y)) \le d(f(x), f(y)) + d(f(y), g(x)) + d(g(x), g(y))$$
(13)

$$\leq bd(x,y) + d(f(y),g(x)) + bd(x,y) \leq 4b\varepsilon$$
 (14)

and therefore $f(x) \in B(g(y), 4b\varepsilon) \subset g(B(y, \frac{4b}{a}\varepsilon)) \subset g(B(x, \frac{5b}{a}\varepsilon)) \subset F(B(x, \frac{5b}{a}\varepsilon))$. Thus $x \in K(V)$ and the proof is concluded.

For the next lemmas, we assume that the space $\Sigma(M)$ of the connected components of M is quasi-compact (compact but not necessarily Hausdorff) with respect to quotient topology. Under this assumption M is separable and therefore is an increasing countable union of compact subsets, so that we can apply the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem (cf. [8]).

Lemma 5.2. There is a neighborhood V of the identity in H(M,d) that is relatively compact in C(M) with respect to the compact-open topology.

Proof. For all $x \in M$, let $r_x > 0$ be such that $B(x, 2r_x)$ is relatively compact and take $y_x \in M$ with $x \neq y_x$, and $r_x \leq \frac{1}{4}d(x, y_x)$. Define

$$V_x = \{ f \in H(M, d) : d(f(x), x) \le r_x \text{ and } d(f(y_x), y_x) \le r_x \}.$$
 (15)

Then V_x is a neighborhood of the identity in H(M,d) with respect to the compact-open topology. By the triangular inequality, for all $f \in V_x$, we have $d(f(x), f(y_x)) \leq 2r_x + d(x, y_x) \leq \frac{3}{2}d(x, y_x)$ and $d(x, y_x) \leq 2r_x + d(f(x), f(y_x))$, which implies that $\frac{1}{2}d(x, y_x) \leq d(x, y_x) - 2r_x \leq d(f(x), f(y_x))$. Hence $\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda(f) \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Therefore $V_x \subset V[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$ and $x \in K(V_x)$. By Lemma 5.1, $K(V_x)$ is an open and closed subset of M and therefore it is the union of connected components of M. Hence $q(K(V_x))$ is an open subset of $\Sigma(M)$, where $\gamma: M \to \Sigma(M)$ is the canonical projection, and $\{q(K(V_x))\}_{x \in M}$ is an open covering of $\Sigma(M)$. Since $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact, there are $x_i \in M$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\{q(K(V_x))\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}}$ is a finite open subcovering. We define $V = \bigcap_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} V_{x_i}$ so that V is also a neighborhood of the identity in K(M, M) with respect to the compact-open topology. Therefore, $K(X) \subset K(X)$ is relatively compact for all $X \in M$. Since K(X) is clearly an equicontinuous family, by Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, K(X) is relatively compact in K(X).

The preceding lemma shows that there is a neighborhood of the identity in H(M,d) which is relatively compact in C(M) with respect to the compact-open topology. For our purpose, namely to prove that H(M,d) is locally compact, it sufficient to show that this neighborhood is also closed and therefore its closure is contained in H(M,d). The following two lemmas are used to prove what is the most delicate part of the proof: the limit of a sequence in this neighborhood is onto:

Lemma 5.3. Let V be the neighborhood of the identity as in Lemma 5.2. If a sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset V$ is such that $f_n\to f$ with respect to the compact-open topology, then its image f(M) is an open and closed subset of M.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, it is sufficient to show that f(M) = K(L), where $L = \{f_n^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, because $L^{-1} \subset V \subset V[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$. One one hand, since $d(x, f_n^{-1}(x)) \leq 2d(f_n(x), f(x))$ and $f_n(x) \to f(x)$, we have $f_n^{-1}(f(x)) \to x$ and $f(x) \in K(L)$ for all $x \in M$, because M is locally compact. On the other hand, if $y \in K(L)$

then F(y) is relatively compact in M, so there are $x \in M$ and a subsequence $(f_{n_k}^{-1}(y))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $f_{n_k}^{-1}(y) \to x$. Hence we have $d(f(x), y) \leq d(f(x), f_{n_k}(x)) + d(f_{n_k}(x), y) \leq d(f(x), f_{n_k}(x)) + \frac{3}{2}d(x, f_{n_k}^{-1}(y))$ and, since $f_{n_k}(x) \to f(x)$, we have y = f(x).

If M is connected then f(M) = M and therefore f is onto. But, as the following lemma shows, this is also true in the more general situation when $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact with respect to the quotient topology:

Lemma 5.4. Let V be the neighborhood of the identity as in Lemma 5.2. If a sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset V$ is such that $f_n\to f$ with respect to the compact-open topology, then f is onto.

Proof. Let $y \in M$. We denote by S_y the connected component of y and, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let S_n be the component of $f_n^{-1}(y)$. Since each f_n is a homeomorphism, we have $f_n(S_n) = S_y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we assume that $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a constant subnet $(S_{n_k} = S_0)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, for some $S_0 \in \Sigma(M)$. Hence $f_{n_k}(S_0) = S_y$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_0 \in S_0$. Hence $f_{n_k}(x_0) \in S_y$ and, since $f_{n_k}(x_0) \to f(x_0)$, we have $f(x_0) \in S_y$. By Lemma 5.3, $S_y \subset f(M)$ and therefore $y \in f(M)$. Now we suppose that $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no constant subnet. Hence, with the above notation, we can apply the following lemma that was proved in Manoussos-Strantzalos [9]:

Lemma 5.5. If $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has no constant subnet then there are $x_0 \in M$, a subsequence $(S_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_k \in S_{n_k}$ and $x_k \to x_0$.

By Lemma 5.5, there are $x_0 \in M$, a subsequence $(S_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a subsequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_k \in S_{n_k} = f_{n_k}^{-1}(S_y)$ and $x_k = f_{n_k}^{-1}(y_k) \to x_0$, where $y_k \in S_y$. Hence

$$d(f(x_0), y_k) \leq d(f(x_0), f_{n_k}(x_0)) + d(f_{n_k}(x_0), y_k)$$
(16)

$$\leq d(f(x_0), f_{n_k}(x_0)) + \frac{3}{2}d(x_0, f_{n_k}^{-1}(y_k))$$
 (17)

and, since $f_{n_k}(x_0) \to f(x_0)$, we have $y_k \to f(x_0)$ and therefore $f(x_0) \in S_y$. By Lemma 5.3, $S_y \subset f(M)$ and therefore f is onto.

The following is a generalization of a result due to Manoussos and Strantzalos [9] for the group of homotheties:

Theorem 5.1. Let (M, d) be a locally compact metric space such that the space of connected components $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact with respect to the quotient topology. Then H(M, d) is locally compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

Proof. Let $V = \bigcap_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} V_{x_i} \subset C(M)$ be the relatively compact neighborhood of the identity as in Lemma 5.2. It is sufficient to show that V is closed with respect to the compact-open topology. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset V$ be a sequence such that $f_n \to f$. By Lemma 5.4, f is onto. Arguing as in Lemma 3.1, we have that $d(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda(f)d(x, y)$, where $\lambda(f) = \lim \lambda(f_n)$. Clearly, we also have $d(f(x_i), x_i) \leq r_{x_i}$ and $d(f(y_{x_i}), y_{x_i}) \leq r_{x_i}$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and therefore $f \in V$. Hence V is compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

As a immediately corollary, we have a generalization of the classical theorem of van Dantzig and van der Waerden for the group of homotheties H(M, d).

Corollary 5.1. Let (M,d) be a connected and locally compact metric space. Then H(M,d) is locally compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

The following corollary is a immediately consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 5.2. Let (M,d) be a locally compact metric space such that the space of connected components $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact with respect to the quotient topology. Then I(M,d) is a Lie group if, and only if, H(M,d) is a Lie group.

6 Quasi-metric spaces

Let $d: M \times M \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying the distance axioms except perhaps that d(x,y) is not necessary equal to d(y,x) for all $x,y \in M$. If the topologies generated by the forward metric balls $B_+(x,r) = \{y \in M : d(x,y) < r\}$ and generated by the backward metric balls $B_-(x,r) = \{y \in M : d(y,x) < r\}$ are the same, we call (M,d) a quasi-metric space and d a quasi-distance. The group of homotheties H(M,d) and the group of isometries I(M,d) are defined in the same way as for metric spaces. An interesting example of such spaces are the Finsler manifolds where its Finsler function F is positively homogeneous but not necessary absolutely homogeneous. The notation of the forward and backward metric balls follows the book of D. Bao, S.S. Chern and Z. Shen [1]. The following corollary is an extension of Theorem 5.1 for quasi-metric spaces:

Corollary 6.1. Let (M,d) be a locally compact quasi-metric space and suppose that its space of connected components $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact with respect to the quotient topology. Then H(M,d) is locally compact with respect to the compactopen topology.

Proof. If (M,d) is a quasi-metric space then the function $\bar{d}: M \times M \to [0,\infty)$, defined by $\bar{d}(x,y) = d(x,y) + d(y,x)$, is a distance and it is straightforward to verify that the topology of (M,\bar{d}) is the same as the topology of (M,d). So, (M,\bar{d}) is a locally compact metric space and its space of connected components $\Sigma(M)$ is quasi-compact with respect to the quotient topology. It also straightforward to verify that H(M,d) is a closed subgroup of $H(M,\bar{d})$ with respect to the compact-open topology and hence the proof is concluded.

7 Applications

The following theorems are immediately consequences of Corollary 5.2 and its version for quasi-metric spaces.

7.1 Finsler manifolds

Let (M,d) be a connected Finsler manifold (cf. [1]), where its Finsler function F is positively homogeneous but not necessary absolutely homogeneous, i.e., F(x,av) = aF(x,v), for all $v \in T_x(M)$, only if $a \geq 0$. We define, for all $x,y \in M$, d(x,y) as the greatest lower bound of the length of all smooth curves joining x to y. As we noted in the previous section, (M,d) is a quasi-metric space but d is not necessary a distance [1]. Recently, Shaoqiang Deng and Zixin Hou (cf. [5]) proved the following generalization of a classical result of Riemannian geometry due to Myers and Steenrod [11]: if (M,d) is a connected Finsler manifold then its group of isometries is a Lie group with respect to the compact-open topology. Thus we have the following result:

Theorem 7.1. If (M, d) is a connected Finsler manifold, then H(M, d) is a Lie group.

7.2 Singular spaces

Let (M,d) be a length space, that is, a metric space where the distance between every two points in it is realized as the infimum of lengths of curves joining them. Alexandroff generalized the concept of sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold to such spaces using the conclusion of Topogonov's comparison theorem as the definition (cf. [2]). A length space which is locally compact, of finite Hausdorff dimension and whose curvature is nowhere $-\infty$ is called an Alexandroff space. It was proved by Fukaya-Yamaguchi [6] that I(M,d) is a Lie group if (M,d) is an Alexandroff space. Thus we have the following result:

Theorem 7.2. If (M, d) is an Alexandroff space, then H(M, d) is a Lie group.

Finally, let (M, d) be a Hadamard space, that is, a complete simply connected length space with nonpositive curvature. For such space (M, d) the ideal boundary $M(\infty)$ is defined as the set of equivalence classes of rays in (M, d) with the natural topology. The space $M(\infty)$ has a natural metric Td called Tits metric, which measures the deviation of (M, d) from flatness (cf. [2]).

It was proved by Yamaguchi [16] that if (M,d) is locally compact, geodesically complete Hadamard space and $(M(\infty), Td)$ is compact, then I(M,d) and $I(M(\infty), Td)$ are Lie groups. Thus we have the following result:

Theorem 7.3. If (M, d) is a locally compact, geodesically complete Hadamard space and $(M(\infty), Td)$ is compact, then H(M, d) is a Lie group.

We remark that the compactness of $(M(\infty), Td)$ is equivalent to the hypothesis that the Tits topology coincides with the natural topology.

References

- [1] Bao, D. Chern, S. S. and Shen, Z., An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry. Graduate Text Math. 200, Springer, Berlin-New York (2000).
- [2] Bridson, M. R. and Haefliger, A., Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature, Fund. Princ. Math. Sc. 319, Springer, Berlin (1999).
- [3] Cassels, J. W. S., Local Fields. L.M.S. Student Text 3, Cambridge Un. Press, Cambridge, (1986).
- [4] van Dantzig, D. e van Waerden, B. L., Über metrische homogene Räume. Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg 6 (1928), 367-376.
- [5] Deng, S. and Hou, Z., The Group of Isometries of a Finsler Space. Pacific Journal of Mathematics 207 (2002), 149-155.
- [6] Fukaya, K. and Yamaguchi, T., Isometry groups of singular spaces. Math. Z. 216 (1994), 31-44.
- [7] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., Foundations of Differential Geometry. Interscience Publishers. New York (1963).
- [8] Lima, E. L., Espaços Métricos. Projeto Euclides. IMPA. Rio de Janeiro (1977).

- [9] Manoussos, A. and Strantzalos, P., On the Group of Isometries on a Locally Compact Metric Space. Journal of Lie Theory 13 (2003), 7-12.
- [10] Montgomery, D. and Zippin, L., Topological Transformation Groups. Interscience. London (1955).
- [11] Myers, S. B. and Steenrod, N., The group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold. Ann. of Math. 40 (1939), 400-416.
- [12] Schafarevich I. R. and Borevich, Z. I., Number Theory, Academic Press. New York (1966).
- [13] Su Gao and Kechris, A. S., On the Classification of Polish Metric Spaces Up to Isometry. Mem. of Am. Math. Soc. 161 (2003).
- [14] Strantzalos, P., Actions by Isometries. Lecture Notes in Math. 1375 (1989), 319-325.
- [15] Yamabe, H., A generalization of a theorem of Gleason. Ann. Math. 58 (1953), 351-365.
- [16] Yamaguchi, T., Isometry groups of spaces with curvature bounded above. Math. Z. 232 (1999), 275-286.
- [17] Zimmer, R. J., Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups. Monographs in Math 81, Birkhäuser, Basel (1984).

Instituto de Matemática Universidade Estadual de Campinas Cx. Postal 6065 13.081-970 Campinas-SP, Brasil