

## THE STATE OF THE ART OF “BERNSTEIN’S PROBLEM”

Barbara Nelli  Marc Soret

### Abstract

Whether the only minimal stable complete hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $3 \leq n \leq 7$ , are hyperplanes, is an open problem. We describe its historical motivations and our results obtained by exploring it.

## 1 Historical Background

A minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a critical point of the area functional with respect to compact support variations. In 1776, Meusnier discovered a geometrical interpretation of a minimal surface in terms of the mean curvature: a minimal surface has mean curvature  $H \equiv 0$  at each point. Meusnier’s result holds in any dimension.

Consider a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  described as a graph of a  $C^2$  function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . In this case the area functional is

$$A(f) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2} dv,$$

where  $dv$  is the volume element on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By definition, the graph of  $f$  is minimal if and only if  $f$  is a critical point of  $A$ . It is straightforward that a minimal graph is a minimum of  $A$ .

Furthermore, the graph of  $f$  is minimal if and only if  $f$  satisfies the *Minimal Surface Equation*:

$$(1 + |\nabla f|^2) \sum_{i=1}^n f_{ii} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_i f_j f_{ij} = 0 \tag{1}$$

The following result is known as Bernstein's Theorem:

*A complete minimal  $C^2$  graph in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a plane.*

Bernstein's Theorem was proved by Bernstein in [2] (see also [16] for a different approach). Then he stated Bernstein's conjecture:

*If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a solution of the minimal surface equation (1) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then  $f$  is a linear function.*

Bernstein's conjecture has been a longstanding problem and it was proved to be true for  $n \leq 7$  and false for  $n \geq 8$ . We recall the main steps of the proof.

A minimal hypersurface is *stable* if it is a local minimum of the area functional with respect to compactly supported deformations. A minimal graph is a global minimum for the area functional, hence it is stable.

Fleming [9] gave a new proof of Bernstein's Theorem, using geometric measure theory. He showed that the existence of a non flat complete minimal graph in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , yields the existence of a minimal stable non trivial cone in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . He then proved that such a cone does not exist.

Fleming's results were extended by Almgreen [1] in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and by Simons [17] in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , for  $n \leq 6$ . In the same paper, however, Almgreen founded non flat minimal stable cones of codimension one in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ , for  $m \geq 4$ . De Giorgi [6] extended the non existence result to  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 7$ , proving that the existence of a complete minimal graph in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  implies the existence of a minimal stable cone in the lower dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Finally Bombieri, De Giorgi and Giusti [3] showed the existence of complete minimal graphs in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 8$ . In fact they constructed a calibration from Simons' minimal cones [17] and deduced from it the existence of complete minimal graphs.

## 2 Some Extensions of Bernstein's Problem

Fleming's proof of Bernstein's Theorem extends to area minimizing hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 6$ , but not to minimal stable hypersurfaces. So, it is quite natural to ask the following Bernstein's problem in the parametric case:

*Does it exist a complete, minimal, stable, non planar hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ?*

The discussion about the parametric Bernstein's problem will be the subject of the rest of this paper.

Let us come back to the non parametric case, for a while, in order to recall the result of Heinz [11]. Heinz studied the solution of (1) on a disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered at  $p$ , of radius  $R$ . He proved that there exists an universal constant  $C$  such that

$$|A|(p) \leq \frac{C}{R^2}$$

where  $|A|$  is the norm of the second fundamental form of the graph.

Heinz' result implies Bernstein's Theorem.

Schoen, Simon and Yau [18] extended Heinz' estimate to area minimizing hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , up to dimension  $n = 5$ . Their result implies Bernstein's conjecture for area minimizing hypersurfaces in the appropriate dimension.

When  $n = 2$ , Do Carmo and Peng [7] and independently Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen [10], proved that a stable minimal surface immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a plane.

On the contrary, when  $n \geq 8$ , the graphs in [3] are stable, minimal, complete, non planar hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

So, we are left with the following parametric Bernstein's problem:

*Are the hyperplanes the only minimal, stable, complete hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $3 \leq n \leq 7$ ?*

Before describing our contributions to the parametric Bernstein's problem, we recall some other partial results.

We denote by  $B(p, R)$  the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  centered at  $p$  of radius  $R$ . Schoen, Simon and Yau [18] proved the following very general result about minimal stable hypersurfaces.

**SSY-Theorem** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in \left[0, 4 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{n}}\right]$ , there exists a constant  $\beta(q, n)$  satisfying the following condition: if  $M$  is a stable minimal hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  then, for any  $R > 0$ ,  $p \in M$ ,*

$$\int_{B(p, \frac{R}{2}) \cap M} |A|^q \leq \beta(q, n) R^{-q} \text{vol}(B(p, R) \cap M) \quad (2)$$

Using the same techniques as in the proof of SSY-Theorem, Do Carmo and Peng [8] showed that a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface is a hyperplane if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{B_R} |A|^2}{R^{2+2q}} = 0, \quad q < \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Cao, Shen and Zhu [5] proved that a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface in the Euclidean space must have only one end. This result has recently been extended to any ambient space with positive sectional curvature by Li and Wang [12]. Q. Chen [4] showed that, if the number of connected components of the intersection between a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface  $M$  and any ball of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n = 3, 4$ , is bounded by some constant, then  $M$  is a hyperplane. D. Zhou and X. Chen have told us that they have recently proved the following: if the  $L^p$  norm of the second fundamental form of a minimal, stable, complete hypersurface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 4$ ,  $p \geq n$ , is bounded, then  $M$  is a hyperplane (personal communication).

### 3 New Results

We have been exploring the problem of existence of non planar, complete, minimal, stable hypersurfaces embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $3 \leq n \leq 7$  and we have obtained some new results. In this section we state them and we describe briefly the techniques of the proofs. The proofs are essentially contained in [14], [15].

First, we are able to reduce the problem to the bounded curvature case. Let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $|A|$  the length of the second fundamental form of  $M$ . We say that  $M$  has *uniformly bounded curvature* if there is a positive constant  $C$  such that  $|A|(x) \leq C$ ,  $\forall x \in M$ .

**Theorem 1** *If there exists a non flat, complete, minimal, stable hypersurface, properly immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then there exists such a hypersurface with uniformly*

*bounded curvature.*

Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of the following curvature estimate (where, we do not assume that  $M$  has codimension one).

**Theorem 2** *Assume that a complete minimal, stable submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with uniformly bounded curvature is flat. Let  $M$  be a properly immersed minimal, stable submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We have*

(i) *if  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , then for any point  $p \in M$*

$$|A|(p) \leq \frac{C}{\inf_{q \in \partial M} |p - q|} \quad (3)$$

*where  $C$  is some universal constant;*

(ii) *if  $M$  is complete without boundary, then  $M$  is flat.*

In the proof of Theorem 2, we use a well known rescaling technique. We assume by contradiction that the universal constant of inequality (3) does not exist. This yields a sequence of minimal hypersurfaces, contradicting inequality (3). We rescale each of them by a homothety whose ratio is essentially the maximum of the norm of its second fundamental form. The rescaled hypersurfaces have uniformly bounded curvature. Then, we can extract a subsequence converging to a minimal stable hypersurface with uniformly bounded curvature and with curvature one at one point. Such hypersurface can not be flat and this gives a contradiction to the assumption (see [15] for the proof).

Next result answers Bernstein's parametric problem in a particular case.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $M$  be a complete minimal stable hypersurface immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n < 5$ . If there exist  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any  $p \in M$  and any  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , the number of connected components of  $M \cap B(p, \epsilon)$  is bounded by  $N$ , then  $M$  is a hyperplane.*

Here is an idea of the proof of Theorem 3. By Theorem 1, we can restrict to the case of uniformly bounded curvature. Then,  $M$  is locally a graph and by hypothesis, in each extrinsic ball, of radius smaller than  $\epsilon_0$  there are a finite

number of such graphs. Hence, the area of  $M$  in each ball of radius smaller than  $\epsilon_0$  is uniformly bounded. Now, the result follows easily from the Schoen-Simon-Yau's curvature estimate contained in the inequality (2).

We recall the results of [14], that are more significative in this context. We notice that, by Theorem 1, the assumption of uniformly bounded curvature for the hypersurface  $M$  is not a strong hypothesis.

Let  $r : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function defined on the hypersurface  $M$  and let  $N$  be a unit normal vector field to  $M$ . We call *Tube* of radius  $r$  around  $M$  the set

$$T(M, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \exists p \in M, x = p + tN(p), t \leq r(p)\}.$$

In our opinion, strong evidence for the non existence of a non planar, embedded, minimal stable hypersurface is given by the following Theorem.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $M$  be a non planar, stable, minimal hypersurface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 5$ , with uniformly bounded curvature. Fix a point  $\sigma$  in  $M$  and denote by  $d(p, \sigma)$  the intrinsic distance between  $\sigma$  and any point  $p \in M$ . Let  $0 < c_1 \leq 1$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 1$  and consider any  $C^2$  function  $r$  on  $M$  such that  $r(p) \geq \inf\{c_1|A(p)|^{-1}, c_2d(p, \sigma)^\delta\}$ . Then the tube  $T(M, r)$ , is not embedded.*

Theorem 4 means that the subfocal tube of a non planar minimal, stable hypersurface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 5$ , cannot be embedded. More precisely: if  $n \leq 4$ , such hypersurfaces admit no embedded tube of constant radius, whatever small the radius is .

However, the following Theorem shows that, assuming a further hypothesis on the embedding, such hypersurfaces admit an embedded tube whose radius decays sufficiently fast.

**Theorem 5** *Let  $M$  be a minimal, non planar hypersurface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 5$ , with uniformly bounded curvature.*

(i) *If  $M$  is stable and there is an Euclidean ball  $B(p)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  centered at a point  $p \in M$  such that  $B(p) \cap M$  consists of a finite number of connected components, then there exists an embedded tube around  $M$ .*

(ii) If  $M$  is not stable, then either  $M$  is proper, or  $M$  is properly embedded in a open set bounded by a complete minimal stable hypersurface (possibly multiply-connected).

Let us say some words about the proof of Theorem 4 (see Theorem 1 in [14]). We call  $T(R, r)$  the tube around a ball of radius  $R$  of  $M$ . If such a tube were embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the order of its volume in terms of  $R$  should be at most  $n + 1$ . We then compute the volume of  $T(R, r)$  in terms of the integral of the norm of the second fundamental form of  $M$ . By a subtle application of inequality (2), one obtains that the order of the volume of  $T(R, r)$  is larger than  $n + 1$ , hence  $T(R, r)$  cannot be embedded.

For the proof of (i) of Theorem 5 we use a purely topological argument, while (ii) is a generalization to higher dimension of a result about laminations, contained in [13]. In fact, the result in [13] is much stronger than ours, because there, the authors can use the parametric form of Bernstein's Theorem in dimension two (see Theorem 2 in [14] for details).

## References

- [1] Almgreen, F. J., *Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and an extension of Benstein's theorem*, Ann. of Math. 85, (1966), 277-292.
- [2] Bernstein, *Sur un théorème de géométrie et ses applications aux équations aux dérivées partielles du type elliptique*, Comm. de la Soc. Math. de Kharkov (2ème sér) 15 (1915-1917), 38-45.
- [3] Bombieri, E., de Giorgi, E., Giusti, E., *Minimal cones and the Bernstein problem*, Inv. Math. 82, (1968), 243-269.
- [4] Chen, Q., *Curvature estimates for stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\mathbb{R}^5$* , Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 19, (2001), 177-184.

- [5] Cao, H., Shen, Y., Zhu, S., *The structure of stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $R^{n+1}$* , Math. Res. Lett Math. 4, (1997), 637-644.
- [6] De Giorgi, E., *Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 19, (1965), 79-85.
- [7] Do Carmo, M., Peng, C., *Stable complete minimal surfaces in  $R^3$  are planes* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 1, 6, (1979), 903-906.
- [8] Do Carmo, M., Peng, C. K., *Stable complete minimal hypersurfaces*, Proc. of the 1980 Symposium of Differential Geometry, Beijing 1,2,3, (1982), 1349-1358.
- [9] Fleming, W. H., *On the oriented Plateau problem*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 9, (1962), 69-89.
- [10] Fischer-Colbrie, D., Schoen, R., *The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of non negative scalar curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33, (1980), 121-132.
- [11] Heinz, E., *Über losungen der minimalflächengleichung*, Nachr. Wiss. Gottingen Math. Phys. Kl. II, (1952), 51-56.
- [12] Li, P., Wang, J., *Minimal hypersurfaces with finite index* Math. Res. Lett. 9, (2002), 95-103.
- [13] Meeks, W. K., Rosenberg, H., *The uniqueness of the helicoid and the asymptotic geometry of properly embedded minimal surfaces with finite topology* Preprint 2001.
- [14] Nelli, B., Soret, M., *Stably embedded minimal hypersurfaces*, to be published on Math. Zeit.
- [15] Nelli, B., Soret, M., *A principle in minimal surface theory*, Preprint (2004).
- [16] Osserman, R., *A Survey in minimal surfaces*, Dover Publications Inc., NY, (1986).



- [17] Simons, J., *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 88, (1968), 62-105.
- [18] Schoen, R., Simon, L., Yau, S. T., *Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces*, Acta Math. Vol 134, (1975), 275-288.
- [19] Schoen, R., Simon, L., *Seminar on Minimal Submanifolds*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1983).

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura  
e Applicata, Università di L'Aquila  
Italy  
nelli@univaq.it

Dép. de Mathématiques  
Université F. Rabelais  
Tours, France  
marc@gargan.math.univ-tours.fr