

## SEMIGLOBAL SOLVABILITY OF A CLASS OF PLANAR VECTOR FIELDS OF INFINITE TYPE

Adalberto P. Bergamasco  Abdelhamid Meziani 

### Abstract

We consider a class of planar vector fields having the unit circle as characteristic set. We assume that each vector field  $L$  is of infinite type on the unit circle. We study the  $C^\omega$  and  $C^\infty$  solvability of the equation  $Lu = f$  near the characteristic set.

### Resumo

Consideramos uma classe de campos vetoriais no plano tendo a circunferência unitária como conjunto característico. Supomos que cada campo vetorial  $L$  é de tipo infinito nos pontos do conjunto característico. Estudamos a resolubilidade  $C^\omega$  and  $C^\infty$  da equação  $Lu = f$  perto do conjunto característico.

## 1. Introduction

In this note we study the  $C^\omega$  and  $C^\infty$  solvability of the equation

$$T_\lambda u = f \tag{1.1}$$

near the characteristic circle  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ , where

$$T_\lambda = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \tag{1.2}$$

$\lambda = a + ib \in \mathbb{R}^* + i\mathbb{R}$ , and  $(r, \theta)$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ . Note that since the change of variables  $r' = r$ ,  $\theta' = -\theta$  transforms  $T_\lambda$  into  $T_{-\lambda}$ , then it suffices to study (1.1) only for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ . The vector field  $T_\lambda$  is of infinite type along  $\Sigma$  and it satisfies the Nirenberg-Treves condition (P). It follows from classical results (see [NT], [T1] or [T2]) that for a given  $C^\omega$  (resp.  $C^\infty$ ) function  $f$  and for every  $p \in \Sigma$ , there exist an open set  $U \ni p$ ,  $U \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ , and a function  $u \in C^\omega(U)$

(resp.  $u \in C^\infty(U)$ ) such that (1.1) holds in  $U$ . The problem is therefore relevant when one seeks solutions in a full neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . Equation (1.1) has in fact been studied ([BM2]) in the  $C^0$  category. By using Fourier series, it is easy to see that the period of  $f$  on  $\Sigma$  must be zero in order for a  $C^0$  solution to exist. Thus from now on, we will assume that  $f$  satisfies

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(0, \theta) d\theta = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Equation (1.1) has also been studied in [M3]. It is proved, in particular, that if  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $f$  is  $C^\infty$  and satisfies (1.3), then for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , equation (1.1) has a  $C^k$  solution defined in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

In section 2, we study the problem of finding analytic solutions when  $f$  is analytic. It turns out that analytic solutions exist for every analytic function  $f$  satisfying (1.3) if and only if  $\lambda$  is not well approximable by rational numbers (exponential Liouville number). In section 3, we prove that for every  $\lambda$ , there exist  $C^\infty$  functions  $f$  satisfying (1.3) such that equation (1.1) has no  $C^\infty$  solutions. The  $C^\infty$  solvability in the region  $r \geq 0$  is also addressed. The approach and the motivation for this work are related to those contained in the papers [B1,2], [BCH], [BCM], [BHS], [BM 1,2], [CH], [M1,2,3], [T1,2] and in many others.

This note grew out of discussions between the authors and by a question of Paulo Cordaro during the VI Workshop on PDE at IMPA. The authors are grateful for the support provided by the Brazilian agencies CNPq, FAPESP and FINEP.

## 2. Analytic solvability and Liouville numbers

We seek analytic solvability of (1.1) when  $f$  is real analytic and satisfies (1.3). The solvability will depend on whether the invariant  $\lambda$  satisfies a diophantine condition. It must be noted that, when  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$ , the analytic solvability is contained in [BM2] and that, when  $\lambda = a \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , there are analytic functions  $f$  satisfying (1.3) for which (1.1) does not have analytic solutions (in fact not even formal solutions). The only remaining case is therefore when  $\lambda = a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

It turns out that (1.1) is solvable in the analytic category if and only if  $\lambda$  is not too well approximable by rationals (see [G] and [B1], [B2]). We now describe a diophantine condition for  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$(DC)_1 \quad \exists C > 0 \quad |\exp(i\frac{2\pi j}{a}) - 1| \geq C^{j+1} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

$$(DC)_2 \quad \exists C > 0 \quad |j + ak| \geq C^{j+1} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , the diophantine conditions  $(DC)_1$  and  $(DC)_2$  are equivalent.*

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(DC_2)$  does not hold. Then,

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists j_l \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k_l \in \mathbb{Z} : |j_l + ak_l| < l^{-(j_l+1)}.$$

For each  $l$  we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \exp(i\frac{2\pi j_l}{a}) - 1 \right|^2 &= \left| \exp(i\frac{2\pi(j_l + ak_l)}{a}) - 1 \right|^2 \\ &= 2 \left( 1 - \cos \frac{2\pi(j_l + ak_l)}{a} \right) \\ &= 2 \frac{2\pi(j_l + ak_l)}{a} \sin \theta_l \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\theta_l$ . It follows immediately that  $(DC_1)$  does not hold.

Conversely, suppose that  $(DC_1)$  does not hold. Then

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists j_l \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : \left| \exp(i\frac{2\pi j_l}{a}) - 1 \right| < l^{-(j_l+1)}.$$

For each  $l$ , let  $k_l = [\frac{j_l}{a}]$  be the integral part of  $\frac{j_l}{a}$ . It follows from the above assumption that

$$\left| \exp(i\frac{2\pi(j_l - ak_l)}{a}) - 1 \right| < l^{-(j_l+1)}.$$

Since  $0 \leq \frac{j_l}{a} - k_l < 1$ , we have  $\lim(j_l - ak_l) = 0$ . Consequently,

$$\left| \exp(i\frac{2\pi(j_l - ak_l)}{a}) - 1 \right|^2 = 2 \left( 1 - \cos(\frac{2\pi(j_l - ak_l)}{a}) \right) \geq \left( \frac{2\pi(j_l - ak_l)}{a} \right)^2,$$

for  $l$  large, and thus  $(DC_2)$  does not hold.

□

As in [B2], we make the following definition for an irrational number. An irrational number  $\alpha$  is said to be an exponential Liouville number if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the inequality

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \exp(-\epsilon q) \quad (2.1)$$

has infinitely many rational solutions  $p/q$ , with  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The following lemmas are easy to prove.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The number  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is an exponential Liouville number if and only if the diophantine condition (DC) does not hold.*

**Lemma 2.3.** *The number  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  satisfies (DC) if and only if  $\frac{1}{a}$  satisfies (DC).*

For a construction of exponential Liouville numbers by means of continued fractions see [B2] and [GPY]. In [G] one finds an example of a Liouville number which is not exponential Liouville; recall that an irrational number  $\alpha$  is said to be a Liouville number if for every positive integer  $N$  there exists  $K \geq 0$  such that the inequality  $|\alpha - p/q| \leq Kq^{-N}$  has infinitely many rational solutions  $p/q$ , with  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\lambda = a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Equation (1.1) has a real analytic solution  $u$  defined near  $\Sigma$  for every real analytic function  $f$  satisfying (1.3) if and only if the invariant  $a$  is not an exponential Liouville number.*

**Proof:** Let  $f(r, \theta)$  be a real analytic function defined near  $\Sigma$ . We use the Taylor expansion about  $r = 0$  and write

$$f(r, \theta) = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j(\theta) \frac{r^j}{j!}, \quad (2.2)$$

where each  $f_j \in C^\omega(S^1)$ . If  $u(r, \theta)$  is a real analytic solution of (1.1), then

$$u(r, \theta) = \sum_{j \geq 0} u_j(\theta) \frac{r^j}{j!}, \quad (2.3)$$

where each  $u_j \in C^\omega(S^1)$  satisfies the ode

$$a \frac{du_j}{d\theta} - ij u_j = f_j. \quad (2.4_j)$$

Note that since  $f$  satisfies (1.3), i.e.,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f_0(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

each equation  $(2.4)_j$  can be solved with

$$u_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\theta f_0(s) ds + K_0, \quad (2.6_0)$$

where  $K_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is arbitrary, and for  $j > 0$ , the solution  $u_j$  is uniquely determined by the formula

$$u_j(\theta) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\theta \exp[i \frac{j(\theta-s)}{a}] f_j(s) ds + K_j \exp(i \frac{j\theta}{a}), \quad (2.6_j)$$

where

$$K_j = \left[ 1 - \exp(i \frac{2\pi j}{a}) \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{a} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp[i \frac{j(2\pi-s)}{a}] f_j(s) ds. \quad (2.7_j)$$

Note that  $K_j$  is well defined since  $a \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

For a real analytic function  $f(r, \theta)$  as in (2.3) and satisfying (2.5), the series (2.3), where  $u_j$  is given by  $(2.6_j)$ , defines a formal solution of (1.1). We will show that when the invariant  $a$  satisfies (DC), the series (2.3) defines a real analytic function near  $\Sigma$ . Before we proceed with the estimation of  $|u_j(\theta)|$ , recall that since  $f$  is real analytic, then there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$|f_j(\theta)| \leq C_1^{j+1}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad \forall \theta \in S^1. \quad (2.8_j)$$

In view of  $(DC)_1$ , we have

$$\left| \left[ 1 - \exp(i \frac{2\pi j}{a}) \right]^{-1} \right| \leq C^{-(j+1)} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (2.9_j)$$

We also have

$$\left| \frac{1}{a} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp[i \frac{j(2\pi-s)}{a}] f_j(s) ds \exp(i \frac{j\theta}{a}) \right| \leq \frac{2\pi}{a} C_1^{j+1} \leq C_2^{j+1}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (2.10_j)$$

for some  $C_2 > 0$ . Similarly, we can show that

$$\left| \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\theta \exp\left[i \frac{j(\theta - s)}{a}\right] f_j(s) ds \right| \leq C_3^{j+1}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (2.11_j)$$

for some  $C_3 > 0$ . Hence, it follows at once from (2.6), (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11), that

$$|u_j(\theta)| \leq C^{-(j+1)} C_2^{j+1} + C_3^{j+1} \leq C_4^{j+1}, \quad (2.12_j)$$

for some  $C_4 > 0$ . If we let  $\hat{\theta} = \theta + i\theta'$  and  $\hat{r} = r + ir'$  with  $\theta', r' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\theta'| < \epsilon$ ,  $|r'| < \epsilon$ , then it follows, after complexifying  $f$  and the formal series (2.3), that estimates (2.12<sub>j</sub>) remain valid for the complexified functions  $u_j(\hat{\theta})$  (with possibly different constants and small  $\epsilon$ ). It follows then that the series  $\sum u_j(\hat{\theta}) \hat{r}^j / j!$  converges uniformly to a holomorphic function in the variables  $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{r})$ . The restriction of this holomorphic function to  $\theta' = r' = 0$  defines a real analytic function near  $\Sigma$ .

We prove the necessity of (DC) by contradiction. When (DC) fails, we construct a real analytic function  $f$  satisfying (1.3) such that equation (1.1) has no real analytic solution. Suppose then that  $(DC)_2$  does not hold. We can find a sequence

$$\{(j_l, k_l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \subset \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$$

such that

$$|j_l - ak_l| < l^{-(j_l+1)} \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (2.13)$$

We have then  $\lim(j_l/k_l) = a$  and this implies that we may take  $j_l$  to be an increasing sequence with  $\lim j_l = \infty$ . We can also assert the existence of constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$c_1 j_l \leq k_l \leq c_2 j_l, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (2.14)$$

Now set

$$f(r, \theta) = \sum_{l \geq 0} e^{ik_l \theta} r^{j_l}. \quad (2.15)$$

Condition (1.3) is trivially satisfied and  $f$  is continuous near  $\Sigma$  since  $|\exp(ik_l \theta)| = 1$  for each  $l$ . Condition (2.14) guarantees that  $f$  is also real analytic. To see

why, we can complexify  $r$  and  $\theta$  into  $\hat{r} = r + ir'$ ,  $\hat{\theta} = \theta + i\theta'$  with  $|r'| < \epsilon$ ,  $|\theta'| < \epsilon$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough. The series

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} e^{ik_l \hat{\theta}} \hat{r}^{j_l}$$

defines a continuous function near  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  and it is holomorphic with respect to  $(\hat{r}, \hat{\theta})$ . The holomorphy of the series follows from the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{l \geq 0} e^{ik_l \hat{\theta}} \hat{r}^{j_l} \right| &\leq \sum_{l \geq 0} e^{-\theta' k_l} |\hat{r}^{j_l}| \leq \sum_{l \geq 0} e^{\epsilon k_l} |2\epsilon|^{j_l} \\ &\leq \sum_{l \geq 0} e^{\epsilon(k_l - a^{-1}j_l)} |2\epsilon e^{a^{-1}\epsilon}|^{j_l} \leq C \sum_{l \geq 0} |2\epsilon e^{a^{-1}\epsilon}|^{j_l}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $C$  (since  $\lim(a k_l - j_l) = 0$ ).

We claim that for such a function  $f$ , equation (1.1) has no real analytic solution. Indeed, if  $u(r, \theta)$  were such a  $C^\omega$  solution, then a straightforward computation would give

$$u(r, \theta) = K + i \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{j_l - a k_l} e^{ik_l \theta} r^{j_l}, \quad (2.16)$$

with  $K \in \mathbb{C}$ . But the above series has radius of convergence equal to 0 since it follows from (2.13) that

$$\left| \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{j_l - a k_l} e^{ik_l \theta} r^{j_l} \right| \geq \sum_{l \geq 0} l^{j_l+1} |r|^{j_l}. \quad (2.17)$$

### 3. Nonexistence of $C^\infty$ solutions

We prove here that in general equation (1.1) does not have  $C^\infty$  solutions. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\lambda = a + ib \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists  $C^\infty$  functions  $f$  satisfying (1.3) so that equation (1.1) does not have  $C^\infty$  solutions in any neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .*

**Proof:** For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} A_\epsilon &= \{(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1 : |r| < \epsilon\}; \\ A_\epsilon^+ &= \{(r, \theta) \in A_\epsilon : r > 0\}; \\ A_\epsilon^- &= \{(r, \theta) \in A_\epsilon : r < 0\}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The function  $z = r^\lambda e^{i\theta}$  is a first integral of  $T_\lambda$  in  $A_\epsilon^+$  and in  $A_\epsilon^-$ . It is continuous on  $A_\epsilon$  and maps  $\overline{A_\epsilon^+}$  and  $\overline{A_\epsilon^-}$  onto the disc  $\overline{D(0, \epsilon^a)}$ , sending  $\Sigma$  into 0. Since

$$T_\lambda \bar{z} = -2ia\bar{z}, \quad (3.2)$$

then the pushforward of the equations

$$T_\lambda u = f \quad \text{in } A_\epsilon^\pm \quad (3.3)$$

give rise to the inhomogeneous CR equations

$$-2ia\bar{z} \frac{\partial \hat{u}^\pm}{\partial \bar{z}} = \hat{f}^\pm(z) \quad \text{in } D(0, \epsilon^a) \setminus \{0\}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\hat{f}^\pm$  and  $\hat{u}^\pm$  are the pushforwards of  $f$  and  $u$  via the map  $z$ . Let

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \alpha_j z^j \quad (3.5)$$

be a series in one variable, with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and with radius of convergence equal to zero. Let  $g(z)$  be a  $C^\infty$  function in the disc  $D(0, \epsilon^a)$  whose Taylor series at 0 coincides with the series (3.5). The function  $\frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial \bar{z}}$  is then a  $C^\infty$  function in  $D(0, \epsilon^a)$  and is flat at 0 (its partial derivatives of all orders vanish at 0). Define a function  $f(r, \theta)$  in  $A_\epsilon$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, \theta) &= -2iar\bar{\lambda} e^{-i\theta} \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial \bar{z}} (r^\lambda e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{for } r \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \\ f(r, \theta) &= 0 \quad \text{for } r < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The function  $f$  is  $C^\infty$  in  $A_\epsilon$  and is flat along the circle  $\Sigma$ . Condition (1.3) is trivially satisfied for this function.

Now we claim that for such a function  $f$ , equation (1.1) does not have a  $C^\infty$  solution in any neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . To see why, we transfer equations (3.3) via the first integral  $z$  and get the CR equations

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}^+}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial \bar{z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \hat{u}^-}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0 \quad \text{in } D(0, \epsilon^a). \quad (3.7)$$



It follows at once that

$$\hat{u}^+(z) = g(z) + h^+(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}^-(z) = h^-(z),$$

where  $h^\pm$  are holomorphic functions in the disc. Hence any solution of (1.1) in  $A_\epsilon$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} u(r, \theta) &= g(r^\lambda e^{i\theta}) + h^+(r^\lambda e^{i\theta}) & \text{for } r \geq 0 \\ u(r, \theta) &= h^-(r^\lambda e^{i\theta}) & \text{for } r < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

But such a function cannot be  $C^\infty$  on  $\Sigma$  since the Taylor series at 0 of  $g$  diverges and thus cannot be equal to that of the holomorphic function  $(h^- - h^+)$

□

The above construction can be used to show the nonexistence of  $C^\infty$  solutions in  $\overline{A}_\epsilon^+$  when  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\lambda = a + ib \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exist  $C^\infty$  functions  $f$  in  $A_\epsilon$  satisfying (1.3) such that there is no  $C^\infty$  function  $u$  defined in  $A_\epsilon$  and satisfying*

$$T_\lambda u = f \quad \text{in } A_\epsilon^+. \quad (3.9)$$

**Proof:** First note that if  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$r^{\lambda j} e^{ij\theta} \notin C^\infty(A_\epsilon) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (3.10)$$

and if  $\lambda = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  with  $p, q$  relatively prime and  $q > 1$ , then

$$r^{\lambda j} e^{ij\theta} \notin C^\infty(A_\epsilon) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus q\mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (3.11)$$

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. If  $u \in C^\infty(A_\epsilon)$  satisfies (3.9), then

$$u(r, \theta) = g(r^\lambda e^{i\theta}) + h^+(r^\lambda e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{in } A_\epsilon^+ \quad (3.12)$$

with  $h^+$  holomorphic.

Now suppose that  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . We show that any function  $u$  defined by (3.12) cannot be  $C^\infty$  on  $\Sigma$ . For this, it suffices to notice that since the series (3.5) has radius of convergence 0, then for every holomorphic function

$$h^+(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} c_j z^j \quad (3.13)$$

there exists  $n > 0$  such that

$$\alpha_n + c_n \neq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $N > n$ . We have

$$u(r, \theta) = \sum_{j=0}^N (\alpha_j + c_j) r^{\lambda_j} e^{ij\theta} + O(|r|^{N\alpha}). \quad (3.15)$$

It follows at once from (3.14) and (3.10) that

$$u \notin C^{[an]+1}(\overline{A}_\epsilon^+), \quad (3.16)$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the integral part of the real number  $x$ . When  $\lambda = p/q \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ , the above argument works as well if one replaces the divergent series  $\sum \alpha_j z^j$  by the series  $\sum \alpha_j z^{qj+1}$  for example.

□

## References

- [B1] Bergamasco, A., *Perturbation of globally hypoelliptic operators*, J. Diff. Equations 114, (1994), 513–526.
- [B2] Bergamasco, A., *Remarks about global analytic hypoellipticity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351, (1999), 4113–4126.
- [BCH] Bergamasco, A.; Cordaro, P.; Hounie, J., *Global properties of a class of vector fields in the plane*, J. Diff. Equations 74, (1988), 179–199.
- [BCM] Bergamasco, A.; Cordaro, P.; Malagutti, P., *Globally hypoelliptic systems of vector fields*, J. Funct. Analysis 114, (1993), 267–285.
- [BHS] Berhanu, S.; Hounie, G.; Santiago, P., *A generalized similarity principle for complex vector fields and applications*, Preprint.
- [BM1] Berhanu, S.; Meziani, A., *On rotationally invariant vector fields in the plane*, Manus. Math. 89, (1996), 355–371.

- [BM2] Berhanu, S.; Meziani, A., *Global properties of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Comm. PDE 22, (1997), 99–142.
- [CHP] Cordaro, P.; Himonas, A., *Global analytic hypoellipticity for a class of degenerate elliptic operators on the torus*, Math. Res. Letters 1, (1994), 501–510.
- [CT] Cordaro, P.; Treves, F., *Homology and cohomology in hypoanalytic structures of the hypersurface type*, J. Geo. Analysis 1, (1991), 39–70.
- [GS] Greenfield, S., *Hypoelliptic vector fields and continued fractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31, (1972), 115–118.
- [GPYT] Gramchev, T.; Popivanov, P.; Yoshino, M., *Global properties in spaces of generalized functions on the torus for second-order differential operators with variable coefficients*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino 51, (1993), 145–172.
- [M1] Meziani, A., *On the similarity principle for planar vector fields: applications to second order pde*, J. Diff. Equations 157, (1999), 1–19.
- [M2] Meziani, A., *On real analytic planar vector fields near the characteristic set*, To appear in Contemporary Math (AMS)
- [M3] Meziani, A., *On planar elliptic structures with infinite type degeneracy*, Preprint.
- [NT] Nirenberg, L.; Treves, F., *Solvability of first order pde*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. 16, (1963), 331–351.
- [T1] Treves, F., *Remarks about certain first-order linear PDE in two variables*, Comm. PDE 5, (1980), 381–425.
- [T2] Treves, F., *Hypo-analytic structures: local theory*, Princeton Univ. Press (1992).

Departamento de Matemática  
UFSCar  
Caixa Postal 676  
13.565-905, São Carlos, SP  
*e-mail:* apbergam@dm.ufscar.br

Department of Mathematics  
Florida International University  
Miami, FL 33199  
*e-mail:* meziani@fiu.edu