



# PSEUDO-PARALLEL IMMERSIONS IN SPACE FORMS

A. C. Asperti G. A. Lobos F. Mercuri

Dedicated to Professor Manfredo P. do Carmo on his 70th birthday

#### Abstract

In this note we introduce a new class of isometric immersions, the pseudo-parallel immersions, defined as the extrinsic analogue of pseudo-symmetric manifolds (in the sense of R. Deszcz) and as a direct generalization of semi-parallel immersions. We will prove some basic results and discuss some examples.

### 1. Introduction

A Riemannian manifold M is locally symmetric if its Riemannian curvature tensor R is parallel, i.e.  $\nabla R = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection extended to act on tensors. A natural generalization of these manifolds are semi-symmetric manifolds, i.e. Riemannian manifolds which satisfy  $R(X,Y) \cdot R = 0$ , for all vectors X and Y tangent to M, where the curvature operator R(X,Y) acts as a derivation on R. Semi-symmetric manifolds were introduced by E. Cartan in the twenties and classified by Szabó only in the early eighties (see [S<sub>1</sub>], [S<sub>2</sub>). The study of totally umbilical submanifolds of semi-symmetric manifolds, leads to the concept of pseudo-symmetric manifolds, i.e. Riemannian manifolds M which satisfy the condition  $R(X,Y) \cdot R = \phi X \wedge Y \cdot R$ , for all vectors X and Y tangent to M and some smooth function  $\phi$  of M, where the endomorphism  $X \wedge Y : TM \to TM$ , defined by  $X \wedge Y(Z) = \langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle X, Z \rangle Y$ , is extended to act on tensors (see [AD]). The class of pseudo-symmetric manifolds naturally generalizes the class of semi-symmetric manifolds, but there exist many examples of pseudo-symmetric manifolds which are not semi-symmetric (see e.g. [D])

and references therein). In the last decade, several studies involving this class of manifolds have been published, but a full classification is not yet available.

In the theory of isometric immersions in space forms, conditions analogous to local symmetry and semi-symmetry have been introduced and studied in the last two decades. Firstly Ferus and others introduced the concept of *parallel* immersions, i.e. immersions whose second fundamental forms are parallel (see (1) below), and classified such immersions (see [F], [BR], [Ta]). Afterwards, Deprez and others introduced and studied the concept of *semi-parallel* immersions, i.e. immersions whose second fundamental forms are anihilated by the curvature tensor of the ambient space, extended to act on tensors (see (4) below). A full classification of these immersions is not available yet, but several partial results are known (see e.g. [D<sub>1</sub>], [D<sub>2</sub>], [L], [Di], [DN], [AM]).

In this paper we will give the definition of pseudo-parallel immersions (see (5) below), as an extrinsic analogue of pseudo-symmetric manifolds and a natural generalization of semi-parallel immersions. We will give some examples of pseudo-parallel hypersurfaces which are not semi-parallel and of pseudo-symmetric hypersurfaces which are not pseudo-parallel. We will give a proof of the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f: M^n \to Q^N(c)$  be a pseudo-parallel immersion. If H(p) = 0 and  $\phi(p) \ge c$ , then p is a geodesic point, i.e. the second fundamental form vanishes at p.

As a consequence, we get:

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $f: M^n \to Q^{n+2}(c)$  be a pseudo-parallel immersion. If  $\phi(p) \geq c$  or  $H(p) \neq 0$ , then  $R^{\perp}(p) = 0$ .

Finally we will make some remarks on work on progress on the subject.

## 2. Definitions and Examples

Let  $M^n$  be a connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifold and let  $Q^N\left(c\right)$  be an N-dimensional manifold with constant sectional curvature c. Given an isometric

immersion  $f:M^n\to Q^N(c)$ , we will denote by  $\nabla$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connections of M and  $Q^N(c)$  respectively and  $\nu(f)$  will denote the normal bundle of the immersion. Then the second fundamental form  $\alpha:TM\otimes TM\to \nu(f)$  is given by  $\alpha(X,Y)=\tilde{\nabla}_XY-\nabla_XY$ , where X,Y are tangent vectors. As usual,  $\nabla^\perp$  and  $R^\perp$  will denote the normal connection and respective curvature tensor and, if  $\xi\in\nu(f)$ ,  $A_\xi:TM\to TM$  will denote the Weingarten operator in the  $\xi$  direction,  $A_\xi X=\nabla_X^\perp \xi-\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi$ .  $A_\xi$  and  $\alpha$  are symmetric and related by  $\langle A_\xi X,Y\rangle=\langle\alpha(X,Y),\xi\rangle$ . The local geometry of f is described by the above data and the basic equations:

$$\langle R(X,Y) Z, W \rangle = c \langle X \wedge Y(Z), W \rangle + \langle \alpha(X,W), \alpha(Y,Z) \rangle \quad (Gauss)$$
$$- \langle \alpha(X,Z), \alpha(Y,W) \rangle,$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}\alpha)(X,Y,Z) = (\overline{\nabla}\alpha)(X,Z,Y),$$
 (Codazzi-Mainardi)

$$\langle R^{\perp}(X,Y)\xi,\eta\rangle = \langle [A_{\xi},A_{\eta}]X,Y\rangle,$$
 (Ricci)

where  $X \wedge Y : TM \to TM$  is the endomorphism defined by  $X \wedge Y(Z) = \langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle X, Z \rangle Y$  and  $\overline{\nabla} \alpha : TM \otimes TM \otimes TM \to \nu(f)$  is the covariant derivative of  $\alpha$  defined by

$$(\overline{\nabla}\alpha)(X,Y,Z) = (\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\alpha)(X,Y)$$

$$= \nabla_{Z}^{\perp}[\alpha(Y,Z)] - \alpha(\nabla_{Z}Y,Z) - \alpha(Y,\nabla_{Z}Z). \tag{1}$$

The second covariant derivative  $\overline{\nabla}^2 \alpha : TM \otimes TM \otimes TM \otimes TM \rightarrow \nu(f)$  is defined by

$$\begin{split} \left(\overline{\nabla}^{2}\alpha\right)\left(X,Y,Z,W\right) &= \left(\overline{\nabla}_{W}\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\alpha\right)\left(X,Y\right) \\ &= \nabla_{W}^{\perp}\left[\left(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\alpha\right)\left(X,Y\right)\right] - \left(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\alpha\right)\left(\nabla_{W}X,Y\right) \\ &- \left(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\alpha\right)\left(X,\nabla_{W}Y\right) - \left(\overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_{W}Z}\alpha\right)\left(X,Y\right). \end{split} \tag{2}$$

Then we have:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \overline{\nabla}_Y \alpha)(Z, W) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \overline{\nabla}_X \alpha)(Z, W)$$

$$= R^{\perp}(X,Y) [\alpha(Z,W)] - \alpha(R(X,Y)Z,W) - \alpha(Z,R(X,Y)W).$$
 (3)

The immersion  $f: M^n \to Q^N(c)$  is parallel if  $\overline{\nabla} \alpha = 0$  and is semi-parallel if

$$\overline{R}(X,Y) \cdot \alpha := \left(\overline{\nabla}_X \overline{\nabla}_Y \alpha\right) - \left(\overline{\nabla}_Y \overline{\nabla}_X \alpha\right) = 0, \tag{4}$$

for all  $X, Y \in TM$ . Clearly parallelism implies semi-parallelism, but the converse is not true in general, as it is shown, for example, in the classification of semi-parallel hypersurfaces in  $Q^{n+1}(c)$ , given by Deprez for c = 0 in  $[D_2]$  and by Dillen for  $c \neq 0$  in [Di]. In those papers it has also been proved that if  $f: M^n \to Q^N(c)$  is a parallel or a semi-parallel immersion, then M is a locally symmetric or a semi-symmetric manifold, respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** An isometric immersion  $f: M^n \to Q^N(c)$  is pseudo-parallel if there exists a smooth function  $\phi: M \to I\!\!R$  such that

$$\overline{R}(X,Y) \cdot \alpha = \phi(p) X \wedge Y \cdot \alpha, \tag{5}$$

fo all  $p \in M$  and  $X, Y \in T_pM$ , where the linear endomorphism  $X \wedge Y : T_pM \to T_pM$  is extended to act on  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$[X \wedge Y \cdot \alpha](Z, W) := -\alpha (X \wedge Y(Z), W) - \alpha (Z, X \wedge Y(W)), \qquad (6)$$

for all  $Z, W \in T_pM$ .

It follows easily from the equations of Gauss and Ricci that the condition of pseudo-parallelism can be rewritten as:

$$R^{\perp}(X,Y) \left[\alpha(Z,W)\right] = \alpha\left(R\left(X,Y\right)Z,W\right) + \alpha\left(Z,R\left(X,Y\right)W\right)$$

$$-\phi\left(p\right)\left\langle Y,Z\right\rangle\alpha\left(X,W\right) + \phi\left(p\right)\left\langle X,Z\right\rangle\alpha\left(Y,W\right)$$

$$-\phi\left(p\right)\left\langle Y,W\right\rangle\alpha\left(Z,X\right) + \phi\left(p\right)\left\langle X,W\right\rangle\alpha\left(Z,Y\right).$$

$$(7)$$

Every semi-parallel immersion is a pseudo-parallel immersion with  $\phi = 0$ . The converse is false in general. In fact, we will show that there exist *proper* pseudo-parallel immersions, i.e. not semi-parallel. First we need Proposition 2.2, which follows easily from the definitions and the Gauss equation, to the Weingarten operator of a pseudo-parallel hypersurface:

**Proposition 2.2.** A hypersurface  $f: M^n \to Q^{n+1}(c)$  is a pseudo-parallel immersion with  $\overline{R}(X,Y) \cdot \alpha = \phi(X \wedge Y) \cdot \alpha$  if and only if the Weingarten operator has at most two different eigenvalues  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ . If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $\phi = \lambda \mu + c$ .

**Example 1.** A hypersurface of revolution in  $Q^{n+1}(c)$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_h M^{n-1}(\delta)$  of an open interval I and a space of constant curvature  $M^{n-1}(\delta)$  with warping function h > 0, where  $\delta$  is 1,0 or -1 depending on the sign of c. The principal curvatures are given by

$$\lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{\delta - ch^2 - \dot{h}^2}}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{\ddot{h} + ch}{\sqrt{\delta - ch^2 - \dot{h}^2}}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\lambda$  has multiplicity at least n-1 (see [CD]). Then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that every rotation hypersurface is pseudo-parallel. Moreover, from (8), such a hypersurface is not semi-parallel if the function h has nonvanishing second derivative.

The notion of pseudo-parallelism is an extrinsic analogue of pseudo-symmetric manifolds in the following sense:

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $f: M^n \to Q^N(c)$  be a  $\phi$ -pseudo-parallel immersion. Then  $M^n$  is a  $\phi$ -pseudo-symmetric manifold.

**Proof.** First we observe that the definition of  $R(X,Y) \cdot R$  can be rewritten as

$$[R(U,V) \cdot R] (X,Y,Z) = R(U,V) [R(X,Y)Z] - R(R(U,V)X,Y)Z$$

$$- R(X,R(U,V)Y)Z - R(X,Y) [R(U,V)Z].$$

By the Gauss equation we obtain

$$\langle [R(U,V) \cdot R](X,Y,Z), W \rangle$$

$$= -c\{\langle (X \wedge Y)(Z), R(U,V)W \rangle + \langle (X \wedge Y)(R(U,V)Z), W \rangle$$
 (9)

$$\begin{split} &+ \left\langle \left( \left[ R\left( U,V\right)X\right] \wedge Y \right) \left( Z \right),W \right\rangle + \left\langle \left( X \wedge \left[ R\left( U,V\right)Y\right] \right) \left( Z \right),W \right\rangle \right\} \\ &+ \left\langle \alpha \left( X,Z \right),\alpha \left( R\left( U,V\right)W,Y \right) + \alpha \left( W,R\left( U,V\right)Y \right) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \alpha \left( Y,Z \right),\alpha \left( R\left( U,V\right)X,W \right) + \alpha \left( X,R\left( U,V\right)W \right) \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \alpha \left( W,Y \right),\alpha \left( R\left( U,V\right)X,Z \right) + \alpha \left( X,R\left( U,V\right)Z \right) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \alpha \left( X,W \right),\alpha \left( R\left( U,V\right)Y,Z \right) + \alpha \left( Y,R\left( U,V\right)Z \right) \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Finally we use (7) and again the equation of Gauss, on the right side of (9) to obtain that

$$\begin{split} &\langle \left[R\left(U,V\right)\cdot R\right]\left(X,Y,Z\right),W\rangle \\ &=\phi\left(p\right)\left\{\left\langle\alpha\left(X,Z\right),\alpha\left(Y,\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(W\right)\right)\right\rangle - \left\langle\alpha\left(X,\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(W\right)\right),\alpha\left(Y,Z\right)\right\rangle \right. \\ &+\left\langle\alpha\left(\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(X\right),Z\right),\alpha\left(Y,W\right)\right\rangle - \left\langle\alpha\left(\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(X\right),W\right),\alpha\left(Y,Z\right)\right\rangle \\ &+\left\langle\alpha\left(X,Z\right),\alpha\left(\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(Y\right),W\right)\right\rangle - \left\langle\alpha\left(X,W\right),\alpha\left(\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(Y\right),Z\right)\right\rangle \\ &+\left\langle\alpha\left(X,\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(Z\right)\right),\alpha\left(Y,W\right)\right\rangle - \left\langle\alpha\left(X,W\right),\alpha\left(Y,\left(U\wedge V\right)\left(Z\right)\right)\right\rangle \right\} \\ &=\phi\left(p\right)\left[\left(U\wedge V\right)\cdot R\right]\left(X,Y,Z\right). \end{split}$$

Example 2. The converse of Proposition 2.3 is false in general. In fact, let  $f:M^3\to Q^4(c)$  be a hypersurface with principal curvatures equal to 0 and  $\pm\lambda\neq 0$ . Then it follows easily that  $R(X,Y)\cdot R=c(X\wedge Y)\cdot R$  in p, i.e.  $M^3$  is pseudo-symmetric in p with  $\phi(p)=c$ . In particular, if c>0 and  $f:M^3\to S^4(c)$  is a Cartan hypersurface, that is,  $M^3$  is a compact minimal hypersurface in the sphere  $S^4(c)$  with constant principal curvature 0 and  $\pm\sqrt{3c}$ , then  $M^3$  is proper pseudo-symmetric (not semi-symmetric) with  $\phi(p)=c$ , for every p in M (see [?], Examples 1,2). It follows from Proposition 2.2 that the Cartan hypersurface  $f:M^3\to S^4(c)$  is not pseudo-parallel. Moreover, if c=0, it is well-known that the cone over a Clifford torus of dimension two,  $g:\mathbb{R}_+\times S^1(1)\times S^1(1)\to\mathbb{R}^4$ , is a minimal hypersurface which has three different principal curvatures  $0,\pm\lambda\neq 0$ . It follows that g is a semi-symmetric hypersurface, but by the Proposition 2.2 cannot be a pseudo-parallel

hypersurface. This shows that pseudo-symmetry and semi-symmetry do not imply pseudo-parallelism, in general. However:

**Proposition 2.4.** If  $n \geq 3$  and  $f: M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is an isometric immersion, then f is a proper pseudo-parallel immersion if and only if  $M^n$  is a proper pseudo-symmetric manifold.

**Proof.** It follows directly from Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 1 given in [DDV].

We observe explicitly that, in the example above, the cone over the Clifford torus is not proper pseudo-symmetric.

## 3. Proof of 1.1 and 1.2

Let  $f: M^n \to Q^N(c)$  be an isometric immersion. We choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_1, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}, ..., e_N\}$  adapted to f. Then the components of the second fundamental form  $\alpha$  are given by  $h^{\sigma}_{ij} = \langle \alpha\left(e_i, e_j\right), e_{\sigma}\rangle$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ ,  $\sigma \in \{n+1, ..., N\}$ . The components of the covariant derivative of  $\alpha$  are given by  $h^{\sigma}_{ijk} = \left\langle \left(\overline{\nabla}_{e_k}\alpha\right)\left(e_i, e_j\right), e_{\sigma}\right\rangle = \overline{\nabla}_{e_k}h^{\sigma}_{ij}$ , and the components of the second covariant derivative of  $\alpha$  are given by  $h^{\sigma}_{ijkl} = \left\langle \left(\overline{\nabla}_{e_l}\overline{\nabla}_{e_k}\alpha\right)\left(e_i, e_j\right), e_{\sigma}\right\rangle = \overline{\nabla}_{e_l}h^{\sigma}_{ijk} = \overline{\nabla}_{e_l}\overline{\nabla}_{e_k}h^{\sigma}_{ij}$ . We have that f is pseudo-parallel if and only if

$$h_{ijkl}^{\sigma} = h_{ijlk}^{\sigma} - \phi \left\{ \delta_{ki} h_{lj}^{\sigma} - \delta_{li} h_{kj}^{\sigma} + \delta_{kj} h_{il}^{\sigma} - \delta_{lj} h_{ik}^{\sigma} \right\}, \tag{10}$$

where i, j, k, l = 1, ..., n and  $\sigma = n + 1, ..., N$ .

Recall that the Laplacian  $\triangle h_{ij}^{\sigma}$  of  $h_{ij}^{\sigma}$  is defined by  $\triangle h_{ij}^{\sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{ijkk}^{\sigma}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle \left( \|\alpha\|^2 \right) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma=n+1}^N h_{ij}^{\sigma} h_{ijkk}^{\sigma} + \left\| \overline{\nabla} \alpha \right\|^2, \tag{11}$$

where  $\|\alpha\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma=n+1}^N \left(h_{ij}^{\sigma}\right)^2$  is the square of the length of the second fundamental form and  $\|\overline{\nabla}\alpha\|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \sum_{\sigma=n+1}^N \left(h_{ijk}^{\sigma}\right)^2$ . Then we have (see [Ch], pp. 90-91):

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle \left( \|\alpha\|^2 \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma=n+1}^N h_{ij}^{\sigma} \left( \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} H^{\sigma} \right) + nc \left( \|\alpha\|^2 - n \|H\|^2 \right)$$
 (12)

$$-\sum_{\sigma,\tau=n+1}^{N} \left[ \left( trace(A_{\sigma} \circ A_{\tau}) \right)^{2} + \left\| \left[ A_{\sigma}, A_{\tau} \right] \right\|^{2} \right] + H^{\tau} trace(A_{\sigma} \circ A_{\tau} \circ A_{\sigma}) \right] + \left\| \overline{\nabla} \alpha \right\|^{2},$$

where  $H^{\sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{kk}^{\sigma}$ ,  $||H||^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\sigma=n+1}^{N} (H^{\sigma})^2$ . Now, by (10) and the Codazzi equation  $h_{ijk}^{\sigma} = h_{ikj}^{\sigma}$  on the right side of (11), we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle \left( \|\alpha\|^2 \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma=n+1}^N h_{ij}^{\sigma} (\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} H^{\sigma}) + n\phi(\|\alpha\|^2 - n\|H\|^2) + \|\overline{\nabla}\alpha\|^2.$$
 (13)

Then, in general, for a pseudo-parallel immersion, we have:

$$n(\phi - c)(\|\alpha\|^2 - n\|H\|^2) + \sum_{\sigma, \tau = n+1}^{N} [(trace(A_{\sigma} \circ A_{\tau}))^2 + \|[A_{\sigma}, A_{\tau}]\|^2 - H^{\tau}trace(A_{\sigma} \circ A_{\tau} \circ A_{\sigma})] = 0.$$
(14)

Now, if H(p) = 0 then  $H^{\sigma} = 0$ ,  $\forall \sigma$ , and we have (at p):

$$n(\phi(p) - c) \|\alpha\|^2 + \sum_{\sigma, \tau = n+1}^{N} [(trace(A_{\sigma} \circ A_{\tau}))^2 + \|[A_{\sigma}, A_{\tau}]\|^2] = 0.$$

If  $\phi(p) \geq c$ , then  $trace(A_{\sigma} \circ A_{\tau}) = 0$ ,  $\forall \sigma, \tau$ . In particular  $||A_{\sigma}||^2 = trace(A_{\sigma} \circ A_{\sigma}) = 0$ , hence  $\alpha(p) = 0$ .

We will prove now Corollary 1.2:

First observe that  $R^{\perp}(X,Y)H = 0$  for any pseudo-parallel immersion. In fact, let  $\xi$  be a normal vector and  $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$  an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $A_{\xi}$ . Then:

$$\langle R^{\perp}(X,Y)H,\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle R^{\perp}(X,Y)[\alpha(e_i,e_i)],\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle \alpha(R(X,Y)e_i,e_i) + \alpha(e_i,R(X,Y)e_i) + \phi(p)[\langle Y,e_i\rangle\alpha(X,e_i) + \langle X,e_i\rangle\alpha(Y,e_i)$$

$$-\langle Y, e_i \rangle \alpha(X, e_i) + \langle X, e_i \rangle \alpha(e_i, Y)], \xi \rangle = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha(R(X, Y)e_i, e_i), \xi \rangle$$
$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_{\xi}e_i, R(X, Y)e_i \rangle = 0.$$

Now, if H(p) = 0, by Theorem 1.1,  $\alpha = 0$  and consequently  $R^{\perp}(X, Y) = 0$ . If  $H(p) \neq 0$ ,  $R^{\perp}(X, Y)$  is an antisymmetric operator on a 2-dimensional space with non trivial kernel, hence is zero.

## 4. Final Remarks

Similarly to the case of parallel and semi-parallel immersions it is possible to associate to a pseudo-parallel immersion a Jordan triple system at every point of the manifold. The study of the algebraic properties of such a system leads to many results in the theory of semi-parallel immersions. Research in progress (that we hope will appear shortly) indicates that the same should occur also for the pseudo-parallel case. For example we can give a pure linear algebraic proof of 1.1 and 1.2. We hope that such a point of view will help in better understanding the connection between parallel, semi-parallel and pseudo-parallel immersions. Also this point of view gives a better understanding of the curvature tensor of (a class of) pseudo-symmetric submanifolds which leads to results on the topology of the manifold like the following (see [ALM]):

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f: M^n \to Q^N(c)$  be a pseudo-parallel immersion, M compact and simply connected, with  $\phi \geq 0$ . Then M is a Riemannian product of manifolds of the following type:

- (a) Manifolds homeomorphic to spheres;
- (b) Manifolds biholomorphic to complex projective spaces;
- (c) Symmetric spaces of compact type.

Moreover, if  $\phi$  is not identically zero, then M is of the first type.

The above fact, together with corollary 1.2. implies that in the codimension two case and c = 0, the manifold is homeomorphic to a sphere or the immersion is the product of two convex embeddings.

## References

- [AD] Adamów, A. and Deszcz, R., On totally umbilical submanifolds of some class of Riemannian manifolds, Demonstratio Math., 16 (1983), 39-59.
- [AM] Asperti, A. C. and Mercuri, F., Semi-parallel immersions into space forms, Bolletino U. M. I., (7) 8-B (1994), 883-895.
- [ALM] Asperti, A., Lobos G. A. and Mercuri, F., Isometric immersions with symmetry condition on the second fundamental form, work in progress.
- [BR] Backes, E. and Rieckziegel, H., On symmetric submanifolds of spaces of constant curvature, Math. Ann., 263 (1983), 419-433.
- [CD] do Carmo, M. and Dajczer, M., Rotation hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 685-709.
- [Ch] Chen, B.Y., Geometry of Submanifolds, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1973)
- [CCK] Chern, S.S., do Carmo, M. and Kobayashi, S., Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundament form of constant length, Functional Analysis and Related Fields, Springer, (1970), 59-75.
- [D<sub>1</sub>] Deprez, J., Semi-parallel surfaces in Euclidean space, J. Geom., 25 (1985), 192-200.
- [D<sub>2</sub>] Deprez, J., Semi-parallel hypersurfaces, Rend. Sem. Univ. Politec. Torino, 44 (1986), 303-316.

- [DDV] Deprez, J., Deszcz, R. and , Verstraelen, L., Pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions, Ann. Fac. Sci. de Toulouse, Vol. IX n. 2 (1988) 183-192.
- [D] Deszcz, R., On pseudosymmetric space, Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society, 44, Ser. A (1992), 1-34.
- [DVY] Deszcz, R., Verstraelen, L. and Yaprak, S., Pseudosymmetric hypersurface in 4-dimensional spaces of constant curvature, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 22 (1994), 167-179.
- [Di] Dillen, F., Semi-parallel hypersurface of a real space form, Israel Journal of Math., 75 (1991), 193-202.
- [DN] Dillen, F. and Nölker, S., Semi-parallelity, multi-rotation surfaces and the helix-property, J. reine angew. Math., 435 (1993), 33-63.
- [F] Ferus, D., Symmetric submanifolds of Euclidean Space, Math Ann., 247 (1980), 81-93.
- [L] Lumiste, Ü., Classification of two-codimensional semi-symmetric submanifolds, Tartu Riikl. Ül. toimetised, 803 (1988), 79-94.
- [Ta] Takeuchi, M., Parrallel submanifolds of space forms, Manifolds and Lie groups, Paper in honor of Y. Matsushima, Birkhauser, Basel, (1980), p. 429-447.
- [S<sub>1</sub>] Szabó, Z. I., Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying  $R(X,Y)\cdot R=0$ . I. The local version, J. Diff. Geom., 17 (1982), 531-582.
- [S<sub>2</sub>] Szabó, Z. I., Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying  $R(X,Y) \cdot R = 0$ . II. Global version, Geom. Dedicate, 19 (1985), 65-108.

Antonio Carlos Asperti

IME/Universidade de São Paulo

CEP 01498-000, São Paulo, Brazil

E-mail: asperti@ime.usp.br

Guillermo Antonio Lobos

DM/Universidade Federal de São Carlos

CEP 13565-905, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

E-mail: lobos@dm.ufscar.br

Francesco Mercuri

IMECC, Universidade Estadual de Campinas

CEP 13081-000, Campinas, SP, Brazil

 $\hbox{E-mail: mercuri@ime.unicamp.br}$